

Finite groups with \mathbb{P} -subnormal primary cyclic subgroups

V. N. Kniahina and V. S. Monakhov

Abstract

A subgroup H of a group G is called \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G whenever either $H = G$ or there is a chain of subgroups $H = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = G$ such that $|H_i : H_{i-1}|$ is a prime for all i . In this paper, we study the groups in which all primary cyclic subgroups are \mathbb{P} -subnormal.

Keywords: finite group, supersolvable group, primary subgroup, cyclic subgroup
MSC2010 20D20, 20E34

Introduction

We consider finite groups only. A. F. Vasilyev, T. I. Vasilyeva and V. N. Tyutyanov in [1] introduced the following definition. Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all prime numbers. A subgroup H of a group G is called \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G whenever either $H = G$ or there is a chain

$$H = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = G$$

of subgroups such that $|H_i : H_{i-1}|$ is prime for all i .

Let $|G| = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$, where $p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_k$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that G has an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type if there exist normal subgroups G_i with

$$1 = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \dots \subset G_{k-1} \subset G_k = G,$$

and where each factor G_i/G_{i-1} is isomorphic to a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G for all i . We denote by \mathfrak{D} the class of all groups which have an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type. It is well known that \mathfrak{D} is a hereditary saturated formation.

In [1] finite groups with \mathbb{P} -subnormal Sylow subgroups were studied. A group G is called w -supersolvable if every Sylow subgroup of G is \mathbb{P} -subnormal

in G . Denote by $w\mathfrak{U}$ the class of all w -supersolvable groups. Observe that the class \mathfrak{U} of all supersolvable groups is included into $w\mathfrak{U}$. In [1], the authors proved that the class $w\mathfrak{U}$ is a saturated hereditary formation; every group in $w\mathfrak{U}$ possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type; all metanilpotent and all biprimary subgroups in $w\mathfrak{U}$ are supersolvable.

In [2] the following problem was proposed.

To describe the groups in which all primary cyclic subgroups are \mathbb{P} -subnormal.

In this note we solve this problem. Denote by \mathfrak{X} the class of groups whose primary cyclic subgroups are all \mathbb{P} -subnormal. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{U} \subset w\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$.

Theorem. 1. *A group $G \in w\mathfrak{U}$ if and only if G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G is supersolvable.*

2. *The class \mathfrak{X} is a hereditary saturated formation.*

3. *A group $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ if and only if G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G with cyclic Sylow subgroup is supersolvable.*

4. *Every minimal non- \mathfrak{X} -group is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group whose non-normal Sylow subgroup is cyclic.*

1 Preliminary results

We use the standart notation of [3]. The set of all prime divisors of $|G|$ is denoted $\pi(G)$. We write $[A]B$ for a semidirect product with a normal subgroup A . If H is a subgroup of G , then $\text{Core}_G H = \bigcap_{x \in G} x^{-1} H x$ is called the core of H in G . If a group G contains a maximal subgroup M with trivial core, then G is said to be primitive and M is its primitivator. We will use the following notation: S_n and A_n are the symmetric and the alternating groups of degree n , E_{p^t} is the elementary abelian group of order p^t , Z_m is the cyclic group of order m , D_8 is the dihedral group of order 8, $Z(G)$, $\Phi(G)$, $F(G)$, G' is the center, the Frattini subgroup, the Fitting subgroup and the derived subgroup of G respectively.

Lemma 1. *Let H be a subgroup of a solvable group G , and assume that $|G : H|$ is a prime number. Then $G/\text{Core}_G H$ is supersolvable.*

PROOF. By hypothesis, $|G : H| = p$, where p is a prime number. If $H = \text{Core}_G H$, then G/H is cyclic of order p and $G/\text{Core}_G H$ is supersolvable, as required. Assume now that $H \neq \text{Core}_G H$, i. e., H is not normal in G . It

follows that $G/\text{Core}_G H$ contains a maximal subgroup $H/\text{Core}_G H$ with trivial core. Hence, $G/\text{Core}_G H$ is primitive and the Fitting subgroup $F/\text{Core}_G H$ of $G/\text{Core}_G H$ has prime order p . Since

$$F/\text{Core}_G H = C_{G/\text{Core}_G H}(F/\text{Core}_G H),$$

it follows that

$$(G/\text{Core}_G H)/(F/\text{Core}_G H) \simeq H/\text{Core}_G H$$

is isomorphic to a cyclic group of order dividing $p - 1$. Thus, $G/\text{Core}_G H$ is supersolvable.

Lemma 2. 1. *A group G is supersolvable if and only if all of its maximal subgroups have prime indices.*

2. *Every subgroup of a supersolvable group is \mathbb{P} -subnormal.*

PROOF. 1. This is Huppert's classic theorem, see [3, Theorem VI.9.5].

2. The statement follows from 1 of the lemma.

Immediately, using the definition of \mathbb{P} -subnormality, we deduce the following properties.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that H is a subgroup of G , and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then the following hold:*

1) *if H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , then $(H \cap N)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in N , and HN/N is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N ;*

2) *if $N \subseteq H$ and H/N is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N , then H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G ;*

3) *if H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K , and K is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , then H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G ;*

4) *if H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , then H^g is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G for each element $g \in G$.*

Example 1. The subgroup $H = A_4$ of the alternating group $G = A_5$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal. If $x \in G \setminus H$, then H^x is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . The subgroup $D = H \cap H^x$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and D is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H . Therefore, an intersection of two \mathbb{P} -subnormal subgroups is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal. Moreover, if H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G and K is an arbitrary subgroup of G , in general, their intersection $H \cap K$ is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K .

However, this situation is impossible if G is a solvable group.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a solvable group. Then the following hold:*

1) if H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and K is a subgroup of G , then $(H \cap K)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K ;

2) if H_i is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , $i = 1, 2$, then $(H_1 \cap H_2)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G .

PROOF. 1. It is clear that in the case $H = G$ the statement is true. Let $H \neq G$. According to the definition of \mathbb{P} -subnormality, there exists a chain of subgroups

$$H = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = G$$

such that $|H_i : H_{i-1}|$ is a prime number for any i . We will use induction by n .

Consider the case when $n = 1$. In this situation, $H = H_{n-1}$ is a maximal subgroup of prime index in G . By Lemma 1, G/N is supersolvable, $N = \text{Core}_G H$. Since, by Lemma 2 (2), every subgroup of a supersolvable group is \mathbb{P} -subnormal, we have

$$H/N \cap KN/N = N(H \cap K)/N$$

is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in KN/N . Lemma 3 (2) implies that $N(H \cap K)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in KN . It means that there exists a chain of subgroups

$$N(H \cap K) = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_{m-1} \subset A_m = NK$$

such that $|A_i : A_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}$ for all i . Since

$$N(H \cap K) \subseteq A_i \subseteq NK,$$

we have $A_i = N(A_i \cap K)$ and $H \cap K \subseteq A_i \cap K$ for all i . We introduce the notation $B_i = A_i \cap K$. It is clear that

$$B_{i-1} \subseteq B_i, \quad A_i = N(A_i \cap K) = NB_i, \quad N \cap B_i = N \cap A_i \cap K = N \cap K$$

for all i . Since $N \subseteq H$, we have

$$B_0 = A_0 \cap K = N(H \cap K) \cap K = (N \cap K)(H \cap K) = H \cap K,$$

$$B_m = A_m \cap K = KN \cap K = K.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i : A_{i-1}| &= \frac{|NB_i|}{|NB_{i-1}|} = \frac{|N||B_i||N \cap B_{i-1}|}{|N \cap B_i||N||B_{i-1}|} = \frac{|B_i : B_{i-1}|}{|N \cap B_i : N \cap B_{i-1}|} = \\ &= \frac{|B_i : B_{i-1}|}{|N \cap K : N \cap K|} = |B_i : B_{i-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have a chain of subgroups

$$H \cap K = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset \dots \subset B_{m-1} \subset B_m = K, \quad |B_i : B_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

which proves that the subgroup $H \cap K$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K .

Let $n > 1$. Since H_{n-1} is a maximal subgroup of prime index in G , and G is solvable, thus, as it was proved, $H_{n-1} \cap K$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K . The subgroup H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in the solvable group H_{n-1} and the induction is applicable to them. By induction,

$$H \cap (H_{n-1} \cap K) = H \cap K$$

is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in $H_{n-1} \cap K$. By Lemma 3 (3), $H \cap K$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in K .

2. Let H_i is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , $i = 1, 2$. It follows from 1 of the lemma, that $(H_1 \cap H_2)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H_2 . Now by Lemma 3 (3), we obtain that $(H_1 \cap H_2)$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G .

Lemma 5. *Let H be a subnormal subgroup of a solvable group G . Then H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G .*

PROOF. Since H is subnormal in G , and G is solvable, then there exists a series

$$H = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_{n-1} \subset H_n = G,$$

such that H_i is normal in H_{i+1} for all i . Working by induction on $|G|$, we can assume that H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H_{n-1} . Since G/H_{n-1} is solvable, the composition factors of G/H_{n-1} have prime orders. Thus, there is a chain of subgroups

$$H_{n-1} = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_{m-1} \subset G_m = G$$

such that G_j is normal in G_{j+1} and $|G_{j+1}/G_j| \in \mathbb{P}$ for all j . This means that $H_{n-1} = G_0$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Using Lemma 3 (3), we deduce that H is a \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G .

Example 2. The subgroup $Z(SL(2, 13))$ of the non-solvable group $SL(2, 13)$ is normal, but is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal. This follows from the fact that the identity subgroup is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in $PSL(2, 13) = SL(2, 13)/Z(SL(2, 13))$.

Lemma 6. *Let A be a p -subgroup of a group G . Then A is subnormal in G if and only if $A \subseteq O_p(G)$.*

PROOF. The statement follows from Theorem 2.2 [4].

Lemma 7. *Let A be a p -subgroup of a group G . If $|G : N_G(A)| = p^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is subnormal in G .*

PROOF. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G with the property that P contains A . Then

$$G = N_G(A)P, \quad A^G = A^{N_G(A)P} = A^P \subseteq P,$$

so $A^G \subseteq O_p(G)$. It is clear that A is subnormal in G .

Lemma 8. *Let p be the largest prime divisor of $|G|$, and let A be a p -subgroup of G . If A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , then A is subnormal in G .*

PROOF. Let $|A| = p^\alpha$. Since A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , then there exists a series

$$A = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \subset A_{t-1} \subset A_t = G, \quad |A_i : A_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Since $|A_1 : A_0| \in \mathbb{P}$, we have

$$|A_1| = p^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad |A_1| = p^\alpha q, \quad p \neq q.$$

If $|A_1| = p^{1+\alpha}$, then A is a normal subgroup of A_1 . If $|A_1| = p^\alpha q$, then $p > q$ and again A is normal in A_1 . Suppose we already know that A is subnormal in A_j . Using Lemma 6 we have, $A \subseteq O_p(A_j)$. Since $|A_{j+1} : A_j| \in \mathbb{P}$, we obtain

$$|A_{j+1}| = p|A_j| \quad \text{or} \quad |A_{j+1}| = q|A_j|, \quad p \neq q.$$

If $|A_{j+1}| = p|A_j|$, then, by Lemma 7, $O_p(A_j) \subseteq O_p(A_{j+1})$, and A is subnormal in A_{j+1} . If $|A_{j+1}| = q|A_j|$, $p \neq q$, then $p > q$. Consider the set of left cosets of A_j in A_{j+1} . We know that $A_{j+1}/\text{Core}_{A_{j+1}}A_j$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group S_q and any Sylow p -subgroup of A_{j+1} is contained in $\text{Core}_{A_{j+1}}A_j$. Since A is subnormal in A_j , so A is subnormal in $\text{Core}_{A_{j+1}}A_j$. Since $\text{Core}_{A_{j+1}}A_j$ is normal in A_{j+1} , it follows that A is subnormal in A_{j+1} . Therefore, A is subnormal in A_i for all i . This implies that A is subnormal in G .

Corollary. ([1, Proposition 2.8]) *Every w -supersolvable group possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type.*

PROOF. Use induction on $|G|$. Let G be a w -supersolvable group, and assume that p is the largest prime divisor of $|G|$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . By Lemma 8, P is normal in G . It follows by Lemma 3 (1), that any quotient group of a w -supersolvable group is w -supersolvable. Working by induction on $|G|$, we deduce that G/P possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, so G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type. The corollary is proved.

Recall that a Schmidt group is a finite non-nilpotent group all of whose proper subgroups are nilpotent. Given a class \mathfrak{F} of groups. By $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{F})$ we denote the class of all minimal non- \mathfrak{F} -groups. A group G is a minimal non- \mathfrak{F} -group if $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$ but all proper subgroups of G belong to \mathfrak{F} . Clearly, the class $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{N})$ consists of Schmidt groups. Here \mathfrak{N} denotes the class of all nilpotent groups. We will need the properties of groups from $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{N})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U})$.

Lemma 9. ([5], [6]) *Let $S \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{N})$. Then the following statements hold:*

- 1) $S = [P]\langle y \rangle$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup, and $\langle y \rangle$ is a non-normal cyclic Sylow q -subgroup, p and q are distinct primes, $y^q \in Z(S)$;
- 2) $|P/P'| = p^m$, where m is the order of p modulo q ;
- 3) if P is abelian, then P is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^m and P is a minimal normal subgroup of S ;
- 4) if P is non-abelian, then $Z(P) = P' = \Phi(P)$ and $|P/Z(P)| = p^m$;
- 5) $Z(S) = \Phi(S) = \Phi(P) \times \langle y^q \rangle$; $S' = P$, $P' = (S')' = \Phi(P)$;
- 6) if N is a proper normal subgroup of S , then N does not contain $\langle y \rangle$ and either $P \subseteq N$ or $N \subseteq \Phi(S)$.

Lemma 10. ([7]) *Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U})$. Then the following statements hold:*

- 1) G is solvable and $|\pi(G)| \leq 3$;
- 2) if G is not a Schmidt group, then G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type;
- 3) G has a unique normal Sylow subgroup P and $P = G^{\mathfrak{U}}$;
- 4) $|P/\Phi(P)| > p$ and $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$;
- 5) the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(P)$ of P is supersolvable embedded in G , i.e., there exists a series

$$1 \subset N_0 \subset N_1 \dots \subset N_m = \Phi(P)$$

such that N_i is a normal subgroup of G and $|N_i/N_{i-1}| \in \mathbb{P}$ for all i ;

- 6) let Q be a complement to P in G , then $Q/Q \cap \Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian group or a cyclic group of prime power order;
- 7) all maximal subgroups of non-prime index are conjugate in G , and moreover, they are conjugate to $\Phi(P)Q$.

We now present new properties of w -supersolvable groups.

Lemma 11. 1. *If $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U})$ and $|\pi(G)| = 3$, then G is w -supersolvable.*

2. $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \setminus w\mathfrak{U} = \{G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \mid |\pi(G)| = 2\}$.

3. *If $G \in w\mathfrak{U}$, then the derived length of $G/\Phi(G)$ is at most $|\pi(G)|$.*

PROOF. 1. Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U})$ and $|\pi(G)| = 3$. By Lemma 10, $G = [P]([Q]R)$, where P , Q and R are Sylow subgroups of G . The subgroup P is normal in G , and using Lemma 5, we see that P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . The subgroup PQ is normal in G , and by Lemma 5, PQ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Since PQ is supersolvable, it follows by Lemma 2 (2), that Q is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in PQ . By Lemma 3 (3), Q is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Since $G/P \simeq QR$, so G/P is supersolvable and PR/P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/P by Lemma 2 (2). Hence PR is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G by Lemma 3 (2). Since PR is supersolvable, we see that R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in PR by Lemma 2 (2). Hence R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G by Lemma 3 (3). We conclude that all Sylow subgroups of G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Therefore, G is w-supersolvable.

2. If $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \setminus \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$, then $|\pi(G)| = 2$ by assertion 1 of the lemma. Conversely, let $G \in \{\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \mid |\pi(G)| = 2\}$. Suppose that $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$. Then by Theorem 2.13 (2) [1], the group G is supersolvable, this is a contradiction.

3. By theorem 2.13 (3) [1], $G/F(G)$ has only abelian Sylow subgroups. By theorem VI.14.16 [3], the derived length of $G/F(G)$ is at most $|\pi(G/F(G))|$. Since G has an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, so $|\pi(G/F(G))| \leq |\pi(G)| - 1$. But if G is solvable, then the quotient group $F(G)/\Phi(G)$ is abelian, and we conclude that the derived length of $G/\Phi(G)$ does not exceed $|\pi(G)|$.

2 Finite groups with \mathbb{P} -subnormal primary cyclic subgroups

Example 3. There are three non-isomorphic minimal non-supersolvable groups of order 400:

$$[E_{5^2}](< a > < b >), \quad |a| = |b| = 4.$$

Numbers of these groups in the library of SmallGroups [8] are [400,129], [400,130], [400,134]. Sylow 2-subgroups of these groups are non-abelian and have the form: $[Z_4 \times Z_2]Z_2$ and $[Z_4]Z_4$. Let G be one of these groups. All subgroups of G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal except the maximal subgroup $< a > < b >$. Therefore, these groups belong to the class \mathfrak{X} .

Example 4. The general linear group $GL(2, 7)$ contains the symmetric group S_3 which acts irreducibly on the elementary abelian group E_{7^2} of order 49. The semidirect product $[E_{7^2}]S_3$ is a minimal non-supersolvable group, it has subgroups of orders 14 and 21. Every primary cyclic subgroup of the group $[E_{7^2}]S_3$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal. Therefore, these group belong to the class \mathfrak{X} .

Example 5. Non-supersolvable Schmidt groups do not belong to the class \mathfrak{X} . We verify this fact. Let $S = [P]Q$ be a non-supersolvable Schmidt group. Suppose that $S \in \mathfrak{X}$. It follows that Q is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in S and Q is contained in some subgroup M of prime index. Therefore, $M = P_1 \times Q$, where P_1 is a normal subgroup of S with the property $|P/P_1| = p$. By the properties of Schmidt groups, see Lemma 9, we have $|P/\Phi(P)| > p$ and $P/\Phi(P)$ is a chief factor of S . We have a contradiction.

Lemma 12. *Suppose that all cyclic p -subgroups of a group G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then all cyclic subgroups of N and G/N are \mathbb{P} -subnormal.*

PROOF. Lemma 3 (1) implies that all cyclic p -subgroups of the normal group N are \mathbb{P} -subnormal in N . Let A/N be a cyclic p -subgroup of G/N and assume that $a \in A \setminus N$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of $\langle a \rangle$. By hypothesis, P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Since $PN/N \neq AN/N$, it follows by Lemma 3 (1), that A/N is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N .

Lemma 13. 1. *If every primary cyclic subgroup of a group G is \mathbb{P} -subnormal, then G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type.*

2. $\mathfrak{U} \subset \text{w}\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{D}$.

PROOF. 1. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where p is the largest prime divisor of $|G|$. If $a \in P$, then by hypothesis, the subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . By Lemma 8, the subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ is subnormal in G , and by Lemma 6, $\langle a \rangle \subseteq O_p(G)$. Since a is an arbitrary element of P , we see that $P \subseteq O_p(G)$, and hence G is p -closed. By Lemma 12, the conditions of the lemma are inherited by all quotient groups of G . Applying induction on $|G|$, we see that G/P possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, and thus G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type.

2. By Lemma 2 (2), we have the inclusion $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$. It follows from Example 4, that $[E_{72}]S_3$ is non-supersolvable and $[E_{72}]S_3 \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U} \setminus \mathfrak{U}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{U} \subset \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$.

We verify the inclusion $\text{w}\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$. Suppose that $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$, and let A be an arbitrary primary cyclic subgroup of G . Then A is a p -subgroup for some $p \in \pi(G)$. By Sylow's theorem, A is contained in some Sylow p -subgroup P of the group G . Since $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$, it follows that P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . By Lemma 2 (2), A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in P , and by Lemma 3 (3), A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Therefore, $G \in \mathfrak{X}$. The group $[E_{52}]Q$ from Example 3 is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group, Q is non-cyclic. The group $[E_{52}]Q \in \mathfrak{X} \setminus \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$, therefore, $\text{w}\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$.

By the above assertion of the lemma, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. Since there exist non-supersolvable Schmidt groups which have an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type (for example, $[E_{5^2}]Z_3$), and they do not belong to the class \mathfrak{X} , it follows that $[E_{5^2}]Z_3 \in \mathfrak{D} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{D}$.

Lemma 14. *Let G be a minimal non-supersolvable group. The group $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$ if and only if G is a biprimary group whose non-normal Sylow subgroup is cyclic.*

PROOF. Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \setminus \mathfrak{X}$. If $|\pi(G)| = 3$, then by Lemma 11 (1), $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$. Since $\text{w}\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{X}$, we have $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, which contradicts the choice of G . So, if $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}) \setminus \mathfrak{X}$, then $|\pi(G)| = 2$ and $G = [P]Q$, where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Suppose that Q is non-cyclic, and let $a \in Q$. Since $P\langle a \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G , we deduce that $P\langle a \rangle$ is supersolvable. Lemma 2 (2) implies that $\langle a \rangle$ is a \mathbb{P} -subnormal subgroup of $P\langle a \rangle$. Since $P\langle a \rangle$ is subnormal in G , it follows by Lemma 5, that $P\langle a \rangle$ is a \mathbb{P} -subnormal subgroup of G . Now by Lemma 3 (3), we deduce that $\langle a \rangle$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Applying Lemma 3 (4), we can conclude that all cyclic q -subgroups of G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Lemma 5 implies that all cyclic p -subgroups of G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Thus $G \in \mathfrak{X}$. We have a contradiction. Therefore, the assumption is false and Q is cyclic.

Conversely, let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U})$, $|\pi(G)| = 2$ and a non-normal Sylow subgroup Q of G is cyclic. Assume that $G \in \mathfrak{X}$. This implies that Q is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and so both Sylow subgroups of the group G are \mathbb{P} -subnormal. Now, by Theorem 2.13 (2) [1], G is supersolvable, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 15. ([9]) *If P is a normal Sylow subgroup of a group G , then $\Phi(P) = \Phi(G) \cap P$.*

Theorem. 1. *A group $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$ if and only if G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G is supersolvable.*

2. *The class \mathfrak{X} is a hereditary saturated formation.*

3. *A group $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ if and only if G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G with cyclic Sylow subgroup is supersolvable.*

4. *Every minimal non- \mathfrak{X} -group is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group whose non-normal Sylow subgroup is cyclic.*

PROOF. 1. If a group $G \in \text{w}\mathfrak{U}$, then G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type by the corollary of Lemma 8, and every biprimary subgroup

of G is w -supersolvable by Lemma 4 (1). We conclude by Lemma 10 (2), that every biprimary subgroup of G is supersolvable.

Conversely, suppose that a group G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G is supersolvable. Assume that G is not w -supersolvable. Let us choose among all such groups a group with the smallest possible $|\pi(G)|$. Then $|\pi(G)| \geq 3$ and G contains a Sylow r -subgroup R such that R is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Let $p \in \pi(G)$, where p is the largest prime divisor of $|G|$ and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Since G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, we deduce that P is normal in G . By hypothesis, the subgroup PR is supersolvable, and we deduce by Lemma 2 (2) that R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in PR . It is clear that G/P possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and all of its biprimary subgroups are supersolvable. Since $|\pi(G/P)| = |\pi(G)| - 1$, it follows by the inductive hypothesis, that G/P is w -supersolvable. Therefore, the Sylow r -subgroup PR/P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/P . Lemma 3 (2) implies that the subgroup PR is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and hence by Lemma 3 (3), the subgroup R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . This is a contradiction.

2. By Lemma 13 (1), the class \mathfrak{X} consists of finite groups which have an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, so we can apply Lemma 4. Let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and suppose that H is an arbitrary subgroup of G . If A is a cyclic primary subgroup of H , then A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . By Lemma 4 (1), the subgroup A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H , and hence \mathfrak{X} is a hereditary class.

By Lemma 12, the class \mathfrak{X} is closed under homomorphic image. By induction on the order of G , we verify that the class \mathfrak{X} is closed under subdirect products. Let G be a group of least order with the following properties:

$$G/N_i \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad N_1 \cap N_2 = 1, \quad G \notin \mathfrak{X}.$$

In this case, G has a primary cyclic subgroup A which is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Since $G/N_i \in \mathfrak{X}$, $i = 1, 2$, it follows that AN_i/N_i is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N_i , and thus by Lemma 4 (2), $AN_1 \cap AN_2$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . If $K = AN_1N_2$ is a proper subgroup of G , then $K/N_i \in \mathfrak{X}$ because \mathfrak{X} is a hereditary class. By the induction hypothesis, $K \in \mathfrak{X}$. It follows that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in $AN_1 \cap AN_2$, and by Lemma 3 (3), A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G = AN_1N_2$. Assume that $G = AN_1$. Then

$$N_2 \simeq N_1N_2/N_1 \subseteq G/N_1 \simeq A/A \cap N_1.$$

Thus N_2 is cyclic and AN_2 is supersolvable by Theorem VI.10.1 [3]. It follows that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in AN_2 , AN_2 is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and by Lemma 3 (3),

A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , which is a contradiction. Thus our assumption is false and $AN_1 \neq G \neq AN_2$.

The subgroup $D = N_1 \cap AN_2$ is normal in $AN_2 = H \neq G$. Hence the group H contains two normal subgroups D and N_2 such that

$$D \cap N_2 \subseteq N_1 \cap N_2 = 1,$$

$$H/N_2 \subset G/N_2 \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad H/N_2 \in \mathfrak{X},$$

$$G/N_1 = (AN_2)N_1/N_1 \simeq AN_2/N_1 \cap AN_2 = H/D \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

By the inductive assumption, $H \in \mathfrak{X}$. It follows that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H , H is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and hence A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . This contradicts the fact that G has a primary cyclic subgroup which is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Thus \mathfrak{X} is formation.

We prove that \mathfrak{X} is a saturated formation by induction on $|G|$. Suppose that $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ and $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{X}$. Since by Lemma 13, the quotient group $G/\Phi(G)$ possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, it follows that G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . It is clear that

$$\Phi(G)N/N \subseteq \Phi(G/N), \quad G/\Phi(G)N \simeq (G/\Phi(G))/(\Phi(G)N/\Phi(G)) \in \mathfrak{X},$$

$$(G/N)/(\Phi(G/N)) \simeq ((G/N)/(\Phi(G)N/N))/((\Phi(G/N)/(\Phi(G)N/N))) \in \mathfrak{X},$$

because

$$(G/N)/(\Phi(G)N/N) \simeq G/\Phi(G)N \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we have $G/N \in \mathfrak{X}$. Since \mathfrak{X} is a formation, this implies that N is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G , $N \subseteq \Phi(G)$, N is a p -subgroup for the largest $p \in \pi(G)$, and $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G , P is normal in G .

Suppose that G has a primary cyclic subgroup A which is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Since $G/N \in \mathfrak{X}$, it follows that the quotient group AN/N is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N , and by Lemma 3 (2), AN is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . By Lemma 3 (3), we see that A is not \mathbb{P} -subnormal in AN , and Lemma 5 implies that the orders of A and N are coprime. Therefore, AP/N is a biprimary subgroup in which the Sylow subgroups AN/N and P/N are both \mathbb{P} -subnormal. Theorem 2.13 (2) [1] implies that AP/N is supersolvable. By Lemma 15, $\Phi(P) = P \cap \Phi(G)$, thus $N \subseteq \Phi(P) \subseteq \Phi(AP)$, and by Theorem VI.8.6 [3], we deduce that AN is supersolvable. Lemma 2 (2) implies that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in AN , which is a contradiction.

3. Let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and B is a biprimary group with cyclic Sylow subgroup R . By Lemma 16(1), G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type. If R is normal in B , then B/R is primary, it follows that B is supersolvable. If R is not normal in B , then $B = PR$, where P is a normal Sylow subgroup of B . By hypothesis, we conclude that R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , and by Lemma 4(1), R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in B . Hence, by Lemma 10(2), B is supersolvable.

Conversely, suppose that G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every biprimary subgroup of G with cyclic Sylow subgroup is supersolvable. Assume that $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$. Let us choose among all such groups a group G with the smallest possible order. Then G contains a cyclic non- \mathbb{P} -subnormal r -subgroup R . Since G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, we deduce that a Sylow p -subgroup P for the largest prime $p \in \pi(G)$ is normal. If $p = r$, then $R \subseteq P$, it follows that R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , which is a contradiction. Thus $p \neq r$ and PR is biprimary with cyclic Sylow subgroup R . By hypothesis, PR is supersolvable, and by Lemma 2(2), R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in PR . The quotient group G/P possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type and every its biprimary subgroup with cyclic Sylow subgroup is supersolvable. Thus $G/P \in \mathfrak{X}$. Since PR/P is a cyclic r -subgroup, we see that PR/P is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/P . It follows by Lemma 3(2) that PR is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Now by Lemma 3(3), we obtain that R is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . This is a contradiction. The assertion is proved.

4. Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{X})$, and let q be the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$. Consider an arbitrary proper subgroup H of G . Since $H \in \mathfrak{X}$, so by Lemma 13(1), the subgroup H has an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, in particular, H is q -nilpotent. By Theorem IV.5.4 [3], the group G is either q -nilpotent or a q -closed Schmidt group. If G is a q -closed Schmidt group, then G is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group whose non-normal Sylow subgroup is cyclic. In this case, the statement is true.

Suppose that G is a q -nilpotent group. Then $G = [G_{q'}]G_q$. Since $G_{q'} \in \mathfrak{X}$, it follows by Lemma 13(1), that $G_{q'}$ possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type, and thus G possesses an ordered Sylow tower of supersolvable type. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G .

First, assume that $\Phi(G) = 1$. In this case, $G = [N]M$, where M is some maximal subgroup of G . Since $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$, then G contains a primary cyclic non- \mathbb{P} -subnormal subgroup. Let A be a subgroup of least order among these subgroups. Since

$$AN/N \subseteq G/N \simeq M \in \mathfrak{X}, \quad AN/N \simeq A/A \cap N,$$

it follows that AN/N is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G/N , and by Lemma 3 (2), the subgroup AN is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . If $AN \neq G$, then $AN \in \mathfrak{X}$, it follows that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in AN , and by Lemma 3 (3), the subgroup A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , which is a contradiction. Therefore $AN = G$, in particular, G is biprimary. Let H be an arbitrary maximal subgroup of G . Then either $A^x \subseteq H$, $x \in G$ or $N \subseteq H$. If $A^x \subseteq H$, then $A^x = H$ because N is a minimal normal subgroup of $AN = G$ and H is cyclic. If $N \subseteq H$, then by the Dedekind identity, $H = (A \cap H)N$. By the choice of A we can conclude that $A \cap H$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Now by Theorem 2.13 (2) [1], H is supersolvable. So in the case of $\Phi(G) = 1$, we proved that G is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group.

Let $\Phi(G) \neq 1$. According to statement 2 of the theorem, $G/\Phi(G) \notin \mathfrak{X}$, and so by the inductive hypothesis, $G/\Phi(G)$ is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group. It follows from the structure of such groups that $G/\Phi(G)$ possesses an ordered Sylow tower. Since $\pi(G) = \pi(G/\Phi(G))$, we deduce that G is a biprimary group which possesses an ordered Sylow tower: $G = [P]Q$, where P and Q are Sylow subgroups of G . Since $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$, then there exists a primary cyclic non- \mathbb{P} -subnormal subgroup. Let A be a subgroup of least order among these subgroups. If $PA \neq G$, then $PA \in \mathfrak{X}$, and thus A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in PA . Since PA is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , it follows by Lemma 3 (3) that A is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G , this is a contradiction. Therefore, $PA = G$. Let H be an arbitrary maximal subgroup of G . Then either $P \subseteq H$ or $A^x \subseteq H$, $x \in G$. If $P \subseteq H$, then $H = [P](A \cap H)$ by the Dedekind identity. By the choice of A , we can conclude that $A \cap H$ is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in G . Now by Theorem 2.13 (2) [1], the subgroup H is supersolvable. If $A^x \subseteq H$, then $H = [P \cap H]A^x$. Since $H \in \mathfrak{X}$, we deduce that A^x is \mathbb{P} -subnormal in H , and thus H is supersolvable by Theorem 2.13 (2) [1]. Hence, in the case of $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, we proved that G is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group.

Therefore, in any case every minimal non- \mathfrak{X} -group is a biprimary minimal non-supersolvable group. We conclude by Lemma 14, that every non-normal Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic.

The theorem is proved.

References

1. *Vasilyev A. F., Vasilyeva T. I., Tyutyaynov V. N.* On the finite groups of

- supersoluble type // Siberian Mathem. J. 2010. Vol. 51, No. 6. P. 1004–1012.
2. *Vasilyev A. F., Vasilyeva T. I., Tyutyaynov V. N.* On finite groups similar to supersoluble groups // Problems of physics, mathematics and technics. 2010. No. 2(3). P. 21–27. (In Russian)
 3. *Huppert B.* Endliche Gruppen I. Berlin–Heidelberg–New York: Springer. 1967.
 4. *Isaacs I. M.* Finite group theory, Providence, Rhode Island, 2008.
 5. *Monakhov V. S.* The Schmidt subgroups, its existence, and some of their applications, Tr. Ukraini. Mat. Congr. 2001, Kiev, 2002, Section 1, pp. 81–90. (In Russian)
 6. *Redei L.* Die endlichen einstufig nichtnilpotenten Gruppen // Publ. Math. Debrecen. 1956. Bd. 4. S. 303–324.
 7. *Doerk K.* Minimal nicht überauflösbare, endliche Gruppen // Mathematische Zeitschrift. 1966. Bd. 91. S. 198–205.
 8. GAP (2009) Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12. www.gap-system.org.
 9. *Baer R.* Supersoluble immersion // Can. J. Math., 1959, Vol. 11, p. 353–369.

V. N. KNIAHINA

Gomel Engineering Institute,
Rechitskoe Shosse 35a,
Gomel 246035, BELARUS
E-mail: knyagina@inbox.ru

V. S. MONAKHOV

Department of mathematics
Gomel F. Scorina State University,
Sovetskaya str. 104,
Gomel 246019, BELARUS
E-mail: Victor.Monakhov@gmail.com