-МАТЕМАТИКА

УДК 512.542

## КРИТЕРИЙ ПРИНАДЛЕЖНОСТИ КОНЕЧНОЙ ГРУППЫ НАСЫЩЕННОЙ ФОРМАЦИИ

#### И.М. Дергачева, И.П. Шабалина, Е.А. Задорожнюк

Белорусский государственный университет транспорта

# A CRITERION FOR A FINITE GROUP TO BELONG A SATURATED FORMATION

#### I.M. Dergacheva, I.P. Shabalina, E.A. Zadorozhnyuk

Belarusian State University of Transport

Доказывается следующий результат: пусть  $\mathcal{F}$  – такая наследственная насыщенная формация p-разрешимых групп, содержащая все p-сверхразрешимые группы, что  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}_p \mathcal{F}$ . Пусть G = AT, где A – холлова  $\pi$ -подгруппа из G,  $p \notin \pi$ и T – p-сверхразрешимая подгруппа из G. Предположим, что для силовской p-подгруппы P из T мы имеем |P| > p. Если A перестановочна с холловой p'-подгруппой из T и со всеми такими максимальными подгруппами V из P, что  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \not\leq V$ , то  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Ключевые слова: конечная группа, насыщенная формация, p-paзpeшимая группа, p-сверхразpeшимая группа, холлова подгруппа.

We prove the following result: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hereditary saturated formation of p-soluble groups containing all p-supersoluble groups such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}_{p'}\mathcal{F}$ . Let G = AT, where A is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G,  $p \notin \pi$  and T is a p-supersoluble subgroup of G. Suppose that for a Sylow p-subgroup P of T we have |P| > p. If A permutes with a Hall p'-subgroup of T and with all maximal subgroups V of P such that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq V$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Keywords: finite group, saturated formation, p-soluble group, p-supersoluble group, Hall subgroup.

### Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and *G* always denotes a finite group. Moreover, *p* is always supposed to be a prime and  $\pi$  is a non-empty subset of the set  $\mathbb{P}$  of all primes; *p'* denotes the set of all primes  $q \neq p$ . A subgroup *H* of *G* is said to permute with a subgroup *K* of *G* if HK = KH.

By the well known Hall theorem [1], G is soluble if every Sylow subgroup P of G has a complement T in G, that is, a subgroup of G such that PT = G and  $P \cap T = 1$ . The example of the alternating group  $A_5$  shows that such a result is incorrect in general if we consider only the Sylow p-subgroups for some fixed p. Nevertheless, B. Huppert [2] proved that if a Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *G* has a complement T in G, |P| > p and T permutes with every maximal subgroup of P, then G is p-soluble. This result was improved in some directions. V. Sergienko [3] on the base of this result proved that if a Sylow p-subgroup P of G has a complement T in G, there is a number  $p^k$  such that  $1 < p^k < |P|$  and T permutes with all subgroups of P of order  $p^k$  and *P* is abelian in the case  $p^{k} = 2$ , then *G* is *p*-so-luble and the p-length of G is equal to 1. Further, © Dergacheva I.M., Shabalina I.P., Zadorozhnyuk E.A., 2017 46

M. Borovikov [4] proved that under these conditions, G is even p-supersoluble. In [5] W. Guo, K.P. Shum and A.N. Skiba proved that if G = AT, where A is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G, T is nilpotent, and A permutes with all Sylow subgroups of T and with all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of T, then G is p-supersoluble, for each prime  $p \notin \pi$ such that  $|T_p| > p$  for a Sylow p-subgroup  $T_p$  of T. See also papers [6], [7].

In this paper we prove the following result in this line researches.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hereditary saturated formation of p-soluble groups containing all psupersoluble groups such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}_{p'}\mathcal{F}$ . Let G = AT, where A is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G,  $p \notin \pi$  and T is a p-supersoluble subgroup of G. Suppose that for a Sylow p-subgroup P of T we have |P| > p. If A permutes with a Hall p'-subgroup of T and with all maximal subgroups V of P such that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq V$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

All unexplained notation and terminology are standard. The reader is referred to [8]–[10] or [11] if necessary.

### **1** Preliminaries

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hereditary formation. Let  $H \leq E \leq G$  and  $E_p \leq G_p$ , where  $E_p$  and  $G_p$ are Sylow p-subgroups of E and G, respectively. Suppose also that  $H \leq E_p$ .

(1) If N is a normal subgroup of G and  $(G/N)^{\mathcal{F}} \cap (PN/N) \notin HN/N$ , then  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \notin H$ .

(2) If  $E^{\mathcal{F}} \cap E_p \nleq H$ , then  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap G_p \nleq H$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \leq H$ . Then  $N(G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P) \leq NH$ , so

$$(G / N)^{\mathcal{F}} \cap (PN / N) =$$
  
=  $(G^{\mathcal{F}}N / N) \cap (PN / N) = N(G^{\mathcal{F}}N \cap P) / N =$   
=  $N(G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P)(N \cap P) / N =$   
=  $N(G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P) / N \le NH / N,$ 

a contradiction. Hence we have  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq H$ .

(2) Since the formation  $\mathcal{F}$  is hereditary,  $E / E \cap G^{\mathcal{F}} \simeq EG^{\mathcal{F}} / G^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence this assertion directly follows from the inclusion  $E^{\mathcal{F}} \cap E_p \leq G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap G_p$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** If G is p-supersoluble and  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ , then G is supersoluble and  $F(G) = O_p(G)$  is normal Sylow p-subgroup of G, where p is the largest prime dividing |G|.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing supersoluble groups and E a minimal normal subgroup of G such that  $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ . If E is abelian and  $\mathcal{F}$ -central, then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, we can suppose that  $E \nleq \Phi(G)$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that  $G = E \rtimes M$  and let  $C = C_G(E)$ . Then  $M_G = C \cap M$  and so

 $G / M_G = (EM_G / M_G) \rtimes (M / M_G) \in \mathcal{F}$ since  $M / M_G \simeq G / C \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus

$$G \simeq G \,/\, E \cap M_G \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Lemma 1.4** (O.H. Kegel [12]). Let A and B be subgroups of G such that  $G \neq AB$  and  $AB^x = B^xA$ for all  $x \in G$ . Then G has a proper normal subgroup N such that either  $A \leq N$  or  $B \leq N$ .

**Lemma 1.5** (V.N. Knyagina and V.S. Monakhov [13]). Let H, K and N be subgroups of G. If H is a Hall subgroup of G and H permutes with K, then

$$N \cap HK = (N \cap H)(N \cap K).$$

### 2 Proof of Theorem

Assume that this theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \neq 1$ . We proceed our proof by proving the following claims:

(1)  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ .

In view of Lemma 1.1(1), the hypothesis still holds for G/D and so  $G/D \in \mathcal{F}$  by the choice of G. But then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}_{p'}\mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction. Thus we have (1).

(2)  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \neq 1$ .

Indeed, if  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P = 1$ , then  $G^{\mathcal{F}}$  is a p'-group. Hence  $G^{\mathcal{F}} = 1$  by Claim (1), a contradiction.

(3) T is supersoluble,  $O_{p'}(T) = 1$  and P is normal in T.

Since  $O_{p'}(T)$  is normal in T,

 $(O_{p'}(T))^G = (O_{p'})^{AT} = (O_{p'})^A \le AT_{p'} = T_{p'}A,$ 

where  $T_{p'}$  is a Hall p'-subgroup of T. Hence  $(O_{p'}(T))^G \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$ , so  $O_{p'}(T) = 1$ . Hence, since T is p-supersoluble by hypothesis, T is supersoluble and P is normal in T by Lemma 1.2.

(4) G is not p-soluble. Hence  $G^{\mathcal{F}}$  is not p-soluble.

Assume that G is p-soluble. Let L be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then by Claim (1), Lis a *p*-group and so  $L \leq P$ . Next note that  $G/L \in F$ . Indeed, if  $|P/L| \le p$ , then the assertion follows from Lemma 1.3. On the other hand, if |P/L| > p, the hypothesis is true for G/L by Lemma 1.1 (1). Hence  $G/L \in \mathcal{F}$  by the choice of G. Therefore  $L \leq \Phi(G)$ . Hence |L| > p and  $L \leq \Phi(T)$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup of T such that LM = T. Then every Hall p'-subgroup of M is a Hall p'-subgroup of T. Since T is soluble, any two Hall p'-subgroups are conjugate in T. Hence without loss of generality we may suppose that  $M = M_p M_{p'}$ , where  $M_p$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *M* and  $M_{p'}$  is a Hall p'-subgroup of M such that  $M_{p'}A = AM_{p'}$ . Since T is supersoluble, |T:M| = p, so  $M_p$  is a maximal subgroup of *P*. Note also that  $L \leq G^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Indeed, if  $L \nleq G^{\mathcal{F}}$ , then from the *G*-isomorphism

$$G^{\mathcal{F}}L/G^{\mathcal{F}} \simeq L/L \cap G^{\mathcal{F}}$$

we deduce that L is  $\mathcal{F}$ -central in G and hence  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 1.3, contrary to the choice of G. Hence A permutes with  $M_p$ . Therefore

$$MA = M_p M_{p'} A = AM = M_p M_{p'}$$

is a subgroup of G with |G:MA| = p and with  $L \leq MA$ . But then |L| = p, a contradiction. Thus we have (4).

(5) If H is a minimal normal subgroup of G and |H| = p, then  $|P| = p^2$ .

Indeed, if  $|P| > p^2$ , the hypothesis is still true for G/H and so  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$  by the choice of G. Hence  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 1.3, contrary to the choice of G. (6) If H is a normal subgroup of G and  $H \cap A \neq A$ , then H is p-soluble.

It is clear that  $H = (A \cap H)(T \cap H)$ . Let  $E = (H \cap A)T$ . Let V be a maximal subgroup of P. Suppose that  $E^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq V$ . Then, by Lemma 1.1 (2),  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq V$ . Hence AV = VA is a subgroup of G. Therefore

$$AV \cap (A \cap H)P =$$
  
=  $(A \cap H)(AV \cap P) = (A \cap H)V(A \cap T) =$   
=  $(A \cap H)V = V(A \cap H).$ 

Thus the hypothesis is still true for E. If E = G, then

 $A = A \cap (H \cap A)P = (H \cap A)(A \cap T) = H \cap A,$ 

a contradiction. Hence,  $E \neq G$  and so  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  by the choice of G. Since every group in  $\mathcal{F}$  is *p*-soluble by hypothesis, we conclude that  $H \leq E$  is *p*-soluble.

(7)  $O^{p'}(G) = G.$ 

Suppose that  $O^{p'}(G) \neq G$ . Since the hypothesis holds for  $O_{p'}(G)$  by Lemma 1.1 (2),  $O_{p'}(G) \in \mathcal{F}$  by the choice of G. But then G is p-soluble, contrary to Claim (4).

(8) If H is a p-soluble minimal normal subgroup of G, then |H| = p and  $H \le Z(G)$ .

First note that if |H| = p and  $C = C_G(H)$ , then G/C, as a group of automorphisms of H, is a cyclic group of order dividing p-1. Hence in this case we have  $H \leq Z(G)$  by Claim (6). Therefore we need only show that |H| = p. Clearly, H is either p'-group or p-group. But the former case is impossible by Claim (1), so  $|H| = p^a$  for some natural *a*. If either H = P or |P/H| > p, then G is clearly p-soluble, contrary to Claim (4). Hence H is a maximal subgroup of P. Suppose that a > 1. Then P is not cyclic. Therefore for some maximal subgroup V of P we have P = HV. Suppose that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \leq V$ . Then  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \neq G$  and  $H \nleq G^{\mathcal{F}}$ . Thus, in view of Claims (1) and (6),  $G = G^{\mathcal{F}}H$ . Since  $G/G^{\mathcal{F}}$  is *p*-soluble and  $O^{p'}(G) = G$ , there is a normal maximal subgroup of G such that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq M$  and |G:M| = p. Since |H| > p, it follows that  $H \le M$ . Hence  $G = G^{\mathcal{F}}H \leq M$ , a contradiction. Then  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \not\leq V$ , which implies that A permutes with V. Now, as in the proof of Claim (4), it may be proved that there is a subgroup W of G such that |G:W| = p and  $H \leq W$ . But then |H| = p, a contradiction. Hence we have (8).

(9) P is not cyclic.

Suppose on the contrary that P is cyclic. First we show that in this case G does not have a proper normal subgroup E with EP = G. Indeed, if EP = G, where E is normal in G and  $E \neq G$ , then for any Sylow q-subgroup Q of A we have  $G = EN_G(Q)$  by the Frattini argument. Hence  $P = D_p N_p$  for some Sylow p-subgroups  $D_p$  of D and  $N_p$  of  $N_G(Q)$ . But P is cyclic and so  $P \le N_G(Q)$ . Now let W be the Hall p'-subgroup of T such that AW = AW. Then

$$Q^G = Q^{AWP} = Q^{AW} \le QAW = AW,$$

where AW = WA is a p'-subgroup of G. Hence  $Q^G \leq O_{p'}(G)$ , which contradicts Claim (1). Now suppose that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \neq G$  and let  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq M \leq G$ , where M is a normal subgroup of G with simple quotient G/M. In view of Claim (7), p divides |G/M|. But then, since  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of p-soluble groups, G/M is a p-group and hence MP = G. This contradiction shows that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} = G$ , so A permutes with the maximal subgroup Z of P. Since T is supersoluble by Claim (3), Z is normal in T. Hence

$$= Z^G = Z^{AT} = Z^A \leq ZA.$$

D

By Lemma 1.4,  $D = (A \cap D)(T \cap D)$ . Assume that either  $D \neq AT$  of  $T \neq P$ . Then D is p-soluble. Indeed, in the former case we have  $D \cap A \neq A$  and so, by Claim (6), D is soluble. On the other hand, if  $A \le D$  and  $T \ne P$ , then the hypothesis still holds on DP. Since |DP| < |G|, DP is p-supersoluble by the choice of G. Now, let H be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in D. Then since D is *p*-soluble, |H| = p and  $H \le Z(G)$  by Claim (8). Let  $N = N_G(P)$ . If  $P \le Z(N)$ , then G is p-nilpotent by the Burnside theorem [14], which contradicts the choice of G. Hence  $N \neq C_N(P)$ . Let  $x \in N \setminus C_G(P)$ with (|x|, |P|) = 1 and  $E = P \rtimes \langle x \rangle$ . By [8, III, 13.4],  $P = [E, P] \times (P \cap Z(E))$ . Since  $H \le P \cap Z(E)$  and P is cyclic, it follows that  $P = P \cap Z(E)$  and so  $x \in C_G(P)$ . This contradiction shows that T = Pand D = M. Let  $q \neq p$  be a prime dividing |A|and Q be any Sylow q-subgroup of A. Let  $N = N_G(Q)$ . Clearly, Q is a Sylow subgroup of D and so by the Frattini argument we have G = DNand so  $P = D_p N_p$  for some Sylow subgroup  $D_p$  of D and Sylow subgroup  $N_p$  of N. But P is cyclic and so  $P = N_p$ . Hence

$$Q^G = Q^{AP} = Q^A \le A,$$

which contradicts Claim (1). Hence we have (9).

 $(10) \mid P \mid \neq p^2.$ 

Suppose on the contrary that  $|P| = p^2$ . By Claim (9), *P* is not cyclic.

If Z is a maximal subgroup of P, then  $Z^G \leq AZ$ , so p > 2 by Claim (3). Therefore T has

at least three different subgroups  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  of order p such that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq Z_i$ . Let  $N_i = Z_i^G$  be the normal closure of  $Z_i$  in G. Then  $N_i \leq AZ_i$  and so  $N_i \cap N_j$  is contained in  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$  for any different  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Hence  $P \leq C_i = C_G(N_i)$  for all i. Assume that for some i,  $C_i \neq G$ . Then  $C_i$  is p-soluble by Claim (6), and so G is p-soluble since  $G/C_i$  is a p'-group. This contradiction shows that  $C_i = G$  for all i. It follows  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  are abelian groups and so  $N_i = Z_i$  for all i and so P is normal in G. It follows that G is p-soluble, which contradicts Claim (4). Thus we have (10).

(11)  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

Let  $D = O_p(G) \neq 1$  and H a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in D. Then |H| = p by Claim (8) and so  $|P| = p^2$  by Claim (5), which contradicts Claim (10).

Final contradiction.

Let V be a maximal subgroup of P and  $N = V^G$  be the normal closure of V in G. Suppose that  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \nleq V$ . Then  $N \leq AM$ . If  $N \cap A \neq A$ , then N is p-soluble by Claim (5) and hence  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ , which contradicts Claim (11). Therefore  $N \cap A = A$ . Hence N = AV and |G/N| = p, so  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq N$ . Therefore

$$G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \le N \cap P = AV \cap P = V.$$

Thus  $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap P \leq \Phi(P)$ . But then  $G^{\mathcal{F}}$  is *p*-nilpotent by Tate's theorem [8]. It follows that *G* is *p*-soluble, contrary to Claim (4).  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

1. *Hall*, *P*. A characteristic property of soluble groups / P. Hall // J. London Math. Soc. -1937. - Vol. 12, No 2. - P. 188–200.

2. *Huppert*, *B*. Zur Sylowstruktur auflosbarer Gruppen / B. Huppert // Arch. Math. – 1961. – Vol. 12. – P. 161–169. 3. Sergienko, V.I. A criterion for the *p*-solubility of finite groups / V.I. Sergienko // Mat. Zam. – 1971. – Vol. 9. – P. 375–383.

4. Borovikov, M.T. Groups with permutable subgroups of mutually simple orders / M.T. Borovikov // Questions of Alg. - 1990. - Vol. 5. - P. 80–82.

5. *Guo*, *W*. Criteria of Existence of Hall Subgroups in Non-soluble Finite Groups / W. Guo, A.N. Skiba // Acta Math. Sinica, English Ser. – 2010. – Vol. 26, № 2. – P. 295–304.

6. *Guo*, *W*. Finite groups with some given systems of  $X_m$ -semipermutable subgroups / W. Guo, K.P. Shum, A.N. Skiba // Math. Nachr. – 2010. – Vol. 283. – P. 1603–1612.

7. Yi, X. On some generalizations of permutability and S-permutability / X. Yi, A.N. Skiba // Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics.  $-2013. - N \ge 4$  (17). -P. 47-54.

8. *Huppert*, *B*. Endliche Gruppen I / B. Huppert. – Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer, 1967.

9. *Doerk*, *K*. Finite Soluble Groups / K. Doerk, T. Hawkes. – Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1992.

10. *Guo*, *W*. The Theory of Classes of Groups / W. Guo. – Beijing, New York, Dordrecht, Boston, London: Science Press, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

11. *Ballester-Bolinches*, A. Classes of finite groups / A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.M. Ezquerro. – Dordrecht: Springer, 2006.

12. *Kegel*, *O.H.* Produkte nilpotenter Gruppen / O.H. Kegel // Arch. Math. – 1961. – Vol. 12. – P. 90–93.

13. Knyagina, V.N. On  $\pi'$ -properties of finite group having a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup / V.N. Knyagina, V.S. Monakhov // Siberian Math. J. – 2011. – P. 234–243.

14. Gorenstein, D. Finite Groups / D. Gorenstein. – New York, Evanston, London: Harper & Row Publishers, 1968.

Поступила в редакцию 29.04.17.