УДК 159.923.2:177.6:179.9-057.87:377.8

Wu Bo

ATTACHMENT AND TRUST FEATURES OF CHINESE COLLEGE STUDENTS

This article focuses on the study of attachment and trust in the student's age. It presents the results of empirical research and statistical analysis of the characteristics of attachment and trust depending on various socio-demographic parameters.

Статья посвящена изучению привязанности и доверия в студенческом возрасте. В ней представлены результаты эмпирического исследования и статистического анализа таких характеристик как привязанность и доверие в зависимости от различных социально-демографических параметров.

Attachment, as an important aspect of human adaptation to survival, not only enhances the likelihood of individual survival, but also participates in the construction of an individual's lifelong adaptive characteristics due to its early formation [1]. Ultimately, attachment also helps individuals to develop better adaptation throughout their lives. The attachment status of college students affects many aspects, including trust. Trust has an important impact on interpersonal interactions and relationship building, and there is an element of trust in attachment [2]. As an important part of interpersonal interaction and self-internalization and cognitive judgment, trust is necessary for us to establish good social relationships. It is generally accepted that a good state of trust, in turn, has an impact on the type of attachment an individual has.

Intimate Experience Scale (ECR) and Interpersonal Trust Scale (ITS) are psychodiagnostic methods. The study was conducted at the Hope College of Jiaotong Southwestern University. The study sample consisted of 100 students. A description of the study sample is presented in Table 1.

Socio-demo	graphic variables	n	Percentage
Gender	Male	46	46%
Gender	Female	54	54%
	Freshman year	21	21%
Chada	Sophomore	19	19%
Grade	Junior	26	26%
	Senior Year	34	34%
	Science and Engineering	58	58%
Majors Studied	Arts and Sciences	34	42%
Place of birth	Urban	40	40%
	Rural	60	60%
Only shild	Yes	48	48%
Only child	No	52	52%
Have you ever been	No	27	27%
in love?	Yes	73	73%

Table 1 — Descriptive statistics based on the overall situation of the sample taken

The results of the study of the type of attachment are presented in Table 2.

Type of attachment	n	Min	Max	М
Safety type	5	6.12	39.21	21
Anxiety type	59	1.76	53.52	25.08
Infatuation type	9	3.51	53.28	21.97
Avoidance type	27	4.85	42.62	23.86

Table 2 - Calculated and analyzed types of attachment by Fisher's linear discriminant formula

According to the research results presented in Table 2, consistently anxiety attachment is most prevalent among college students. The Chinese parental role, due to the social and cultural background, is mostly «introverted, subtle, and reserved» and hardly displays their emotions in daily life, so that the initial attachment bond with the child is established with an intimate but contradictory feeling. The attachment that is formed is retained as a pattern and grows with the child. Almost 30% of respondents are characterized by avoidance attachment.

The results of the analysis of differences in anxiety and avoidance attachments by sociodemographic variables are presented in Tables 3-4.

Table 3 – Analysis of differen			
	• • •	1 .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Table 4 Analysis of differen	COC IN ONVIOTU	v attachmont on	cocio damographic variables
-1 able $\beta = A$ harves of unrelen	עבא ווו מוואוכנע	allachnicht on	
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Socio-demographic variables		$M \pm SD$	Ν		df	Р
Gender	Male	$66.91 \pm 17.451$	46 🔨	0.612	98	0.552
	Female	$64.81 \pm 17.610$	54	0.012	90	0.332
Majors Studiod	Arts and Sciences	$68.26 \pm 18.604$	42	1.211	98	0.229
Majors Studied	Science and Engineering	$63.98 \pm 16.550$	58	1.211	90	0.229
Place of birth	Urban	$67.28 \pm 16.038$	40	0.697	98	0.488
	Rural	$64.78 \pm 18.443$	60	0.097	90	0.488
Only shild	Yes	$65.04 \pm 18.180$	48	-0.404	98	0.687
Only child	No	$66.46 \pm 16.957$	52	-0.404	90	0.087
Have you ever been	Yes	$66.37 \pm 18.834$	73	0.553	00	0.582
in love?	No	64.19 ± 13.324	27	0.355	98	0.382

Table 4 – Analysis of differences in avoidance attachment on socio-demographic variables

A						
Socio-demographi	c variables	M±SD	Ν	t	df	Р
Gender	Male	$66.30 \pm 9.587$	46	-2.004	98	0.048
Gender	Female	$70.43 \pm 10.778$	54			0.046
Majors Studied	Arts and Sciences	$71.50 \pm 8.566$	42	2.492	98	0.014
	Science and Engineering	66.38 ± 11.135	58	2.492	90	0.014
Place of birth	Urban	$69.08 \pm 9.872$	40	0.426	98	0.671
Flace of birtin	Rural	$68.17 \pm 10.810$	60			
Only shild	Yes	$67.79 \pm 11.636$	48	0.690	98	0.409
Only child	No	$69.21 \pm 9.183$	52	-0.680	90	0.498
Have you ever been	Yes	$67.66 \pm 11.042$	73	1 2 9 5	08	0 160
in love?	No	$70.89 \pm 8.159$	27	-1.385	98	0.169

The avoidance attachment was significantly different on the socio-demographic variables of gender and profession (t = -2.004, p < 0.05; t = 2.492, p < 0.05), while there were no significant differences on place of birth, whether one child or not and whether one has been

in a relationship (p > 0.05). And the anxiety attachment was not significant on any of the dimensions (p > 0.05). The change in social climate, increase in the status of women, advocacy of equality and cross compatibility of disciplines can be explained as being the reasons that triggered such findings.

The results of the analysis of differences in trust for socio-demographic variables are presented in Table 5.

Socio-demographic variables		$M \pm SD$	Ν	t	df	Р
Gender	Male	$104.80 \pm 6.369$	46	1.118	98	0.265
Ochidei	Female	$103.24 \pm 7.448$	54	1.110	90	0.266
	Arts and	$103.55 \pm 6.992$	42			
Majors Studied	Sciences			-0.501	00	0.618
Majors Studied	Science and	$104.26 \pm 7.020$	58	-0.301	20	0.018
	Engineering			~	$\mathbf{\mathcal{O}}$	
Place of birth	Urban	$103.48 \pm 7.369$	40	-0.565	98	0.573
	Rural	$104.28 \pm 6.755$	60	-0.303	y 90	0.375
Only shild	Yes	$105.04 \pm 7.314$	48	498	98	0.137
Only child	No	$102.96 \pm 6.574$	52	1,490	90	0.137
Have you ever been	Yes	$104.01 \pm 7.338$	73	0.106	0.0	0.0
in love?	No	$103.81 \pm 6.039$	27	0.126	98	0.9

Table 5 – Analysis of differences in total trust scores and socio-demographic variables

The overall trust scores of the subjects were high and there were no significant differences in the dimensions of the socio-demographic variables (p > 0.05).

The results of the analysis of the difference in grade levels, attachment and trust are presented in the Table 6.

Table 6 – Analysis of variance for grade level and attachment and trust

Creada laval	Desservels for struct	MICD	11	Maan Canana	F	
Grade level	Research feature	$M \pm SD$	df	Mean Square	Г	р
Encohmon voor	Attachment	$137.76 \pm 21.440$		128.315	0.294	0.830
Freshman year	Trust	$105.57 \pm 7.047$		48.668	0.998	0.397
Sophomore	Attachment	$133.68 \pm 21.011$	3	128.315	0.294	0.830
	Trust	$103.11 \pm 6.172$		48.668	0.998	0.397
Junior	Attachment	$134.50 \pm 20.568$		128.315	0.294	0.830
	Trust	$104.92 \pm 4.890$		48.668	0.998	0.397
Senior Year	Attachment	$132.38 \pm 20.750$		128.315	0.294	0.830
	Trust	$102.71 \pm 8.533$		48.668	0.998	0.397

There was no significant difference in the total scores of both attachment and trust at grade level (p > 0.05).

Results of the Pearson correlation analysis present in Table 7.

There was no correlation between attachment and trust. According to the reference literature, there are both positive and negative results between attachment and trust.

The current study presents data from empirical studies on the characteristics of attachment and trust at different levels, demonstrates the overall status of attachment and trust among college students, discusses the reasons behind the various data that can be uncovered, and provides extended ideas for campus students' mental health, psychological crisis intervention and interpersonal relationship improvement efforts, as well as some suggestions for family rearing styles and improving intra-family relationships.

Results o	f the correlation analysis	Total score of questionnaire 1	Total score of questionnaire 2
	Pearson correlation	1	146
	Significance (Bilateral)		.146
Total score of questionnaire 1	Sum of squares and fork products	42309.390	-2092.760
-	covariance	427.368	-21.139
	N	100	100
	Pearson correlation	146	1
	Significance (Bilateral)	.146	
Total score of questionnaire 2	Sum of squares and fork products	-2092.760	4825.840
	covariance	-21.139	48.746
	N	100	100

## Table 7 — Analysis of the correlation between attachment and trust

Thus, according to the results of the empirical research anxiety and avoidance attachments are prevalent among college students. The dominant level of trust is at a high level. The avoidance attachment depends on gender and profession, the trust does not depend on the socio-demographic variables. Both attachment and trust do not depend on the grade level.

# Literature

1 Liu, M. Y. Adult attachment and its research progress / Liu M. Y., Guo L. // Journal of Hubei Normal College (Philosophy and Social Science Edition), 2009. - № 8. -P. 127–129. 2 Sun, Xuesong. Research and Prospects of Trust Issues / Sun Xuesong, Yang Nini //

EHOSMIC SUIT Journal of Luliang College, 2013. – № 3(04). – P. 70–74.