ON PARTIALLY CONJUGATE-PERMUTABLE SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

V.I. Murashka
E-mail: mvimath@yandex.ru
and
A.F.Vasil'ev
E-mail:formation56@mail.ru

Abstract.

Let R be a subset of a group G. We call a subgroup H of G the R-conjugate-permutable subgroup of G, if $HH^x = H^xH$ for all $x \in R$. This concept is a generalization of conjugate-permutable subgroups introduced by T. Foguel. Our work focuses on the influence of R-conjugate-permutable subgroups on the structure of finite groups in case when R is the Fitting subgroup or its generalizations $F^*(G)$ (introduced by H. Bender in 1970) and $\tilde{F}(G)$ (introduced by P. Shmid 1972). We obtain a new criteria for nilpotency and supersoulbility of finite groups which generalize some well known results.

1 Introduction

All groups considered here are finite. Recall [1] that the subgroups H and K of G are said to permute if HK = KH, which is equivalent to that set HK is a subgroup of G.

The classic area of group theory is the study of subgroups of G which permute with every subgroup of a dedicated system of subgroups of G. This trend goes back to O. Ore [2] who introduced the concept of quasinormal (permutable) subgroup in 1939. Recall that subgroup H of a group G is called quasinormal if it permutes with each subgroup of G. Every normal subgroup is quasinormal. It is known that every quasinormal subgroup is subnormal. There are examples showing that the converse need not hold.

Another important type of subgroups' permutability was proposed by O. Kegel [3] in 1962. A subgroup H of a group G is called S-permutable (S-quasinormal, π -quasinormal) subgroup of G, if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G. Note that every S-permutable subgroup is subnormal. The converse need not hold. Currently, the concept of quasinormal and S-permutable subgroups and their generalizations have been studied intensively by many authors (see reviews [4], [5] and the monograph [6]).

In 1997 T. Foguel [7] noted in the proof that a quasinormanal subgroup is subnormal, one only needs to show that it is permutable with all of its conjugates. This led him to the following concept of subgroups' permutability.

Definition 1 [7]. A subgroup H of a group G is called the conjugate-permutable subgroup of G, if $HH^x = H^xH$ for all $x \in G$. Denoted by $H <_{\mathcal{C}\text{-P}} G$.

Clearly, every quasinormal subgroup is conjugate-permutable. In [7] there is an example showing that the converse is not true. On the other hand, every 2-subnormal subgroup (i.e. subgroup is a normal subgroup of some normal subgroup of the group) is a conjugate-permutable.

The concept of conjugate-permutable subgroups proved useful. A number of authors (for example see [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]) studied the influence of conjugate-permutable subgroups on the structure of the group. Particularly, some criteria of nilpotency of finite groups were obtained in terms of the conjugate-permutable subgroups (see [7], [9]).

Analyzing the proofs of some results of the works [7], [9], we have seen that a smaller number of conjugate subgroups H^x which H must permute with can be considered for the conjugate-permutable subgroup H. This observation led us to the following definition.

Definition 2. Let R be a subset of a group G. We shall call a subgroup H of G the R-conjugate-permutable subgroup of G, if $HH^x = H^xH$ for all $x \in R$. Denoted by $H <_{R-C-P} G$.

Note that if R = G, then H is a conjugate-permutable, if R = 1, then any subgroup of G is R-conjugate-permutable subgroup.

In this paper we study the influence of various systems of R-conjugate-permutable subgroups on the structure of finite groups G, when $R \in \{F(G), F^*(G), \tilde{F}(G)\}$. Recall that

The Fitting subgroup F(G) is maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of G.

 $\tilde{F}(G)$ is a generalization of the Fitting subgroup introduced by P. Schmid ([12], p. 79, or [13]]. It is defined by $\Phi(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$ and $\tilde{F}(G)/\Phi(G) = Soc(G/\Phi(G))$.

A subgroup $F^*(G)$ is another generalization of the Fitting subgroup. It was introduced by H. Bender [14] and defined by $F^*(G)/F(G) = Soc(C_G(F(G)F(G)/F(G)))$.

As we will show that $F(G) \subseteq F^*(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$ for every group G. If G is a solvable group, then $\tilde{F}(G) = F^*(G) = F(G)$.

Example 1. Let $G \simeq S_4$ be the symmetric group of degree 4. Let H be Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Then H is a maximal subgroup of G which is not normal in G. Note that $\tilde{F}(G) = F^*(G) = F(G) \subseteq H$. Hence $H <_{F(G)-C-P} G$. By the theorem of Ore ([1] p. 57) $HH^x \neq H^xH$ for all $x \in G \setminus H$. Therefore H is not conjugate-permutable subgroup of G.

Theorem A. A group G is nilpotent if and only if every maximal subgroup of G is $\tilde{F}(G)$ -conjugate-permutable.

The following example shows that we can not use $F^*(G)$ in place of $\tilde{F}(G)$ in theorem A.

Example 2. Let $G \simeq A_5$ be the alternating group of degree 5, and $K = F_3$ be a field composed by three elements. We denoted by $A = A_K(G)$ the Frattini KG-module [15]. In view of [15], A is an irreducible KG-module of the dimension 4. By known Gaschutz theorem, there exists a Frattini extension $A \mapsto R \twoheadrightarrow G$ such that $A \stackrel{G}{\simeq} \Phi(R)$ and $R/\Phi(R) \simeq G$. From the properties of module A follows that $\tilde{F}(G) = R$ and $F^*(G) = \Phi(R)$. Note that every maximal subgroup of G is $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable, but the group R is not nilpotent.

Corollary A.1 [7]. If every maximal subgroup a group G is conjugate-permutable then G is nilpotent.

Corollary A.2. If G is a non-nilpotent group then there is an abnormal maximal subgroup M of G such that $\tilde{F}(G) \nsubseteq M$.

The reference system of subgroups of a group is the set of its Sylow subgroups, the knowledge of the structure and embedding properties allows in many cases to reveal the structure of the group. For example, recall the following well-known result: the group is nilpotent if and only if each of its Sylow subgroup is subnormal.

Theorem B. The following statements for a group G are equivalent:

- 1) G is nilpotent;
- 2) every abnormal subgroup of G is $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup of G;
- 3) normalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroups of G;
- 4) Sylow subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroups of group G.

Corollary B.1. A group G is nilpotent if and only if the normalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G contains $F^*(G)$.

Corollary B.2. A group G is nilpotent if and only if it satisfies one of the following conditions:

- 1) Sylow subgroups of maximal subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable;
- 2) normalizers of Sylow subgroups in maximal subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable.

Theorem C. If all cyclic primary subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable then G is nilpotent.

As follows from example 1.2 [7] the converse of theorem C is false.

Corollary C.1. A group G is nilpotent if cyclic subgroups of Sylow subgroups of maximal subgroups of G are $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable;

Note that theorems 2.3, 2.4 [9] and theorem 2.3 [7] follows from theorems A, B and C.

A group G is called dinilpotent [6, p. 100] if G = AB, where A and B are nilpotent subgroups of G. By the theorem of Wielandt-Kegel every dinilpotent group is solvable. Examples of dinilpotent groups are biprimary, supersoluble groups and other. Dinilpotent group studied by many authors in different directions (see [6], Chapter 3). In this direction we obtain the following result.

Theorem D. Let G = AB be a dinilpotent group. The group G is nilpotent if and only if G = AB, where A and B are F(G)-conjugate-permutable subgroups of G.

Corollary D.1 If the group G = AB is a dinilpotent group and $F(G) \subseteq A \cap B$ then G is nilpotent.

It is well known that the product of two normal supersoluble subgroups of a group is not necessarily supersoluble. This fact has been the starting point for a series of results about factorized groups in which the factors satisfy certain permutability conditions. The first step in this direction was taken by Baer [18]. He proved that if G = AB is the product of the supersoluble normal subgroups A and B and B and B and B is nilpotent then B is supersoluble. We obtain the following result.

Theorem E. A group G is supersoluble if and only if G = AB, where A and B are supersoluble F(G)-conjugate-permutable subgroups of G and G' is nilpotent.

It is well known ([21], p. 127) that if a group G contains two normal supersoluble subgroups with coprime indexes in G then G is supersoluble. For F(G)-conjugate-permutable subgroups this result is not true.

Example 3. Let G be the symmetric group of degree 3. By theorem 10.3B [1] there is a faithful irreducible F_7G -module V over the field F_7 of 7 elements and the dimension of V is 2. Let R be the semidirect product of V and G. Let $A = VG_3$ and $B = VG_2$ where G_p is a Sylow p-subgroup of G, $p \in 2, 3$. Since $T \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for $p \in 2, 3$, it is easy to check that subgroups A and B are supersoluble. Since V is faithful irreducible module, F(R) = V. Therefore A and B are the F(R)-conjugate-permutable subgroups of G. Note that R = AB but R is not supersoluble.

Theorem F. A group G is supersoluble if and only if G is metanilpotent and contains two supersoluble F(G)-conjugate-permutable subgroups with coprime indexes.

Theorem G. A group G is supersoluble if and only if G = AB is the product of supersoluble F(G)-conjugate-permutable subgroups A and B and G' = A'B'.

2 Preliminaries

We use standard notation and terminology, which if necessary can be found in [6], [1], [12] and [18]. We recall some definitions, notions and results.

Let G be a group then $Syl_p(G)$ denote the set of all Sylow p-subgroups of G; $H \leq G$ means H is a subgroup of G; |G| is the order of G; $H \triangleleft G$ means H is a normal subgroup of G; $H \triangleleft G$ means H is a subnormal subgroup of G; H_G is the core of the subgroup H of G, i.e. maximal normal subgroup of G contained in H; $C_G(H)$ is the centralizer of the subgroup H in G; $N_G(H)$ is the

normalizer of subgroup H in G; Z(G) is the center of G; $Z_{\infty}(G)$ is the hypercenter of G; Soc(G) is socle of G, i.e. the product of all minimal normal subgroups of G. We will use the symbol 1 to denote the identity subgroup of a group.

We recall the following well-known definitions and results (see [1]):

Definition 2.1. The group is called nilpotent if all its Sylow subgroups are normal.

Theorem 2.2. For a group G the following statements are equivalent:

- A) G is nilpotent;
- 2) G is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups;
- 3) every proper subgroup of G is distinct from its normalizer;
- 4) all maximal subgroups of G are normal;
- 5) all subgroups of G are subnormal.

Definition 2.3. A subgroup M of non-unit group G is the maximal subgroup of G if M is not contained in any other subgroup distinct from G.

Definition 2.4. The Frattini subgroup of a $G \neq 1$ is the intersection of all maximal subgroups of G. Denoted by $\Phi(G)$.

Set that $\Phi(1) = 1$.

Theorem 2.5. $\Phi(G)$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of G.

Theorem 2.6. Let $D \triangleleft K \triangleleft G$, $D \leq \Phi(G)$ and $D \triangleleft G$. If the quotient group K/D is nilpotent then K is nilpotent.

Lemma 2.7 (Frattini). If K is a normal subgroup of a group G and P is a Sylow subgroup of K then $G = N_G(G)K$.

Definition 2.8. Let G be a group. A subgroup H is called pronormal in G if subgroups H and H^x are conjugate in $\langle H, H^x \rangle$ for all $x \in G$.

Definition 2.9. Let G be a group. A subgroup H is called abnormal of G if $x \in \langle H, H^x \rangle$ for all $x \in G$.

Lemma 2.10. If a subgroup H is pronormal in G then $N_G(H)$ is abnormal subgroup of G.

Lemma 2.11. Let H be an abnormal subgroup of a group G. From $H \leq U \leq G$ and $H \leq U \cap U^x$ follows that $x \in U$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\Phi(G) = E$. Then $Z_{\infty}(G) = Z(G)$.

Theorem 2.13 [19]. The hypercenter is the intersection of all the normalizers of all Sylow subgroups.

Theorem 2.14. Let G be a group. The Fitting subgroup $F(G/\Phi(G)) = F(G)/\Phi(G)$ and is equal to the direct product of abelian minimal normal subgroups of $G/\Phi(G)$.

The idea of lemma's 2.15 proof was proposed by L. Shemetkov.

Lemma 2.15. $F^*(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$ for any group G.

Proof. Let a group G be the minimal order counterexample for lemma 2.15. If $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ then for $G/\Phi(G)$ the statement is true. From $F^*(G)/\Phi(G) \subseteq F^*(G/\Phi(G))$ and $\tilde{F}(G/\Phi(G)) = \tilde{F}(G)/\Phi(G)$ we have that $F^*(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$. It is a contradiction with the choice of G.

Let $\Phi(G) = 1$. Now $\tilde{F}(G) = Soc(G)$. By 13.14.X [16] $F^*(G) = E(G)F(G)$. Note $\Phi(E(G)) = 1$. Since 13.7.X [16] E(G)/Z(E(G)) is the direct product of simple nonabelian groups, Z(E(G)) = F(E(G)). From it and theorem 10.6.A.c [1] we conclude that E(G) = HZ(E(G)) where H is the complement to Z(E(G)) in E(G). Now H is the direct product of simple nonabelian groups. Since $HcharE(G) \triangleleft G$, we have $H \triangleleft G$. From lemma 14.14.A [1] follows $H \subseteq Soc(G)$. Since $Z(E(G)) \subseteq F(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$ and $H \subseteq Soc(G)$, it follows that $E(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$. Now $F^*(G) = E(G)F(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$. It is a contradiction with the choice of G. Lemma is proved.

The subgroups F(G), $F^*(G)$ and F(G) have the following useful properties [1], [12], [16].

Lemma 2.16. Let G be a group. Then

1) $\tilde{F}(G/\Phi(G)) = \tilde{F}(G)/\Phi(G);$

- 2) $C_G(\tilde{F}(G)) \subseteq F(G)$.
- 3) if G is soluble $C_G(F(G)) \subseteq F(G)$;
- 4) $C_G(F^*(G)) \subseteq F(G)$;
- 5) $F(G) \subseteq F^*(G) \subseteq \tilde{F}(G)$.

Lemma 2.17. Let G = AB be a product of the normal nilpotent subgroup A and subnormal supersoluble subgroup B. Then G is supersoluble.

Proof. Follows from theorem 15.10 [12].

Definition 2.18. A group G is called quasinilpotent if $G = C_G(H/K)H$ for any chief factor H/K of G.

Theorem 2.19. A group G is quasinilpotent if and only if $G/Z_{\infty}(G)$ is quasinilpotent. Follows from theorem 13.6 [16].

Definition 2.20. A group is said to be supersoluble whenever its chief factors are all cyclic.

Definition 2.21. The commutator subgroup of a supersoluble group is nilpotent.

Theorem 2.22. If G is the product of two subnormal supersoluble subgroups with coprime indexes in G then G is supersoluble.

Theorem 2.20 follows from theorem 3.4 (p. 127 [21]) and the induction by the order of G.

3 Properties of R-conjugate-permutable Subgroups

Lemma 3.1 [7]. Let $H <_{C-P} G$ then $H \triangleleft \triangleleft G$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $H <_{R-C-P} G$, $R \leq G$ and RH = HR. Then $H \triangleleft \triangleleft HR$.

Proof. Let $k \in HR$. Then k = hr, where $h \in H$ and $r \in R$. Since $H <_{R-C-P} G$, $HH^k = HH^{hr} = HH^r = H^rH = H^hH = H^kH$. Therefore $H <_{C-P} HR$. Now the result follows from lemma 3.1. Lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.3. Let $H <_{C-P} G$ and H is pronormal in G. Then $H \triangleleft G$.

Proof. Since $H <_{C-P}$, then by lemma 3.1 $H \triangleleft \triangleleft G$. Consider $N_G(H)$. By Lemma 2.10 $N_G(H)$ is abnormal subgroup of G. Since $H \triangleleft \triangleleft G$, $N_G(H) \triangleleft \triangleleft G$. Assume that $N_G(H) \neq G$. Then there exists x not in $N_G(H)$ such that $N_G(H)^x = N_G(H)$. Then x does not belong to $\langle N_G(H)^x; N_G(H) \rangle$. This contradicts the fact that $N_G(H)$ is abnormal subgroup of G. It remains to assume that $N_G(H) = G$ and $H \triangleleft G$. The lemma is proved.

Proposition 3.4. Let $H <_{R-C-P} G$, $R \le G$ and RH = HR, then $H \triangleleft \triangleleft HR$. In particular, if H pronormal subgroup of HR, then $H \triangleleft HR$.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

Corollary 3.4.1. Let $P <_{R-C-P} G$, $P \in Syl_pG$, $R \leq G$ and PR = RP. Then $P \triangleleft PR$.

Corollary 3.4.2. Let $M <_{R-C-P} G$, $R \le G$, MR = RM and M is maximal in MR. Then $M \triangleleft PR$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $H <_{C-P} G$ and $K \triangleleft G$. Then $HK <_{C-P} G$.

4 Results

Proof of Theorem A. Let G be a nilpotent group. Then $\tilde{F}(G) = G$. By 4) Theorem 2.2 every maximal subgroup of G is normal in G, hence, $\tilde{F}(G)$ -conjugate-permutable.

Conversely. Assume the result is false and G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then G is the non-nilpotent group and all maximal subgroups of G are $\tilde{F}(G)$ -conjugate-permutable.

Suppose that $\Phi(G) \neq 1$. Consider the quotient $G/\Phi(G)$. We have $\tilde{F}(G/\Phi(G)) = \tilde{F}(G)/\Phi(G)$. It is easily seen that all maximal subgroups of $G/\Phi(G)$ are $\tilde{F}(G/\Phi(G))$ -conjugate-permutable. Since $|G| > |G/\Phi(G)|$, we have $G/\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent. From Theorem 2.6. follows that G is nilpotent, a contradiction.

Assume that $\Phi(G) = 1$. Then F(G) = Soc(G).

Assume now that $\tilde{F}(G)$ is not nilpotent. By 2) theorem 2.2 there is a subgroup $S \in Syl_p(\tilde{F}(G))$ such that S is not normal in $\tilde{F}(G)$. Let $P \in Syl_p(G)$ and $P \cap \tilde{F}(G) = S$. Note that $S^x = P^x \cap \tilde{F}(G)^x = P \cap \tilde{F}(G) = S$ for every $x \in N_G(P)$. It means that $N_G(P) \subseteq N_G(S)$. Since $N_G(S) \neq G$, we have $N_G(P) \neq G$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $N_G(S) \subseteq M$. By lemmas 2.10 and 2.11 M is the abnormal subgroup of G. By lemma 2.7 $N_G(S)\tilde{F}(G) = M\tilde{F}(G) = G$. Since M is the $\tilde{F}(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup, M is normal in G by Corollary 3.4.2, a contradiction.

Therefore we have that F(G) is nilpotent. Then $F(G) = F(G) = Soc(G) = N_1 \times ... \times N_t$ where N_i runs over all minimal normal subgroup of G. From $\Phi(G) = 1$ and Theorem 2.14, it follows that N_i is an abelian subgroup for all i = 1, ..., t. Then there is a maximal subgroup M_i such that $N_i M_i = G$ for all i = 1, ..., t. Note that $M_i \tilde{F}(G) = G$. Since $M_i <_{\tilde{F}(G)-C-P} G$, M_i is normal in G for all i = 1, ..., t by Corollary 3.3.2. Since N_i is abelian subgroup, we have $N_i \subseteq C_G(N_i)$ and $N_i \cap M_i = 1$. Then $M_i \triangleleft G$ implies $M_i \subseteq C_G(N_i)$ for all i = 1, ..., t. We show that $G = M_i N_i \subseteq C_G(N_i)$ for every i = 1, ..., t. Therefore $N_i \subseteq Z(G)$ for all i = 1, ..., t. Then $\tilde{F}(G) \subseteq Z(G)$. Hence $G \subseteq C_G(\tilde{F}(G)) \subseteq F(G)$. Thus G is nilpotent, a contradiction. Theorem A is proved.

Proof of Theorem B. Prove that 1) implies 2). Since G is nilpotent, $F^*(G) = G$. Any subgroup of G is subnormal by 5) theorem 2.2. It means that the subgroup G is the only one abnormal and subnormal subgroup in G. It is clear that G is the $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup. Thus 1) implies 2).

Normalizers of all Sylow subgroups are abnormal subgroups by lemma 2.10. Therefore 2) implies 3).

Assume the validity of 3). Let P be a Sylow subgroup of G. Since $N_G(P)$ is the $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup and $N_G(P)$ is pronormal in G, we have $N_G(P) \triangleleft N_G(P)F^*(G)$ by lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. By Lemma 2.10 $N_G(P)$ is abnormal in G. Therefore $N_G(P)$ is abnormal in $N_G(P)F^*(G)$. Then $N_G(P) = N_G(P)F^*(G)$. Which implies that P is normal in $N_G(P)F^*(G)$. Hence P is the $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup of G. Thus 3) implies 4).

Finally we show that 4) implies 1). Assume that 1) is not true and G is a counterexample of least order.

Let P be a Sylow subgroup of G. Since P is the $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup, we see that $P \triangleleft PF^*(G)$ by Corollary 3.4.1. It follows that $F^*(G) \subseteq N_G(P)$. Now we have that $F^*(G)$ lies in the intersection of all the normalizers of all Sylow subgroups of G. Therefore $F^*(G) \subseteq Z_{\infty}(G)$ by Theorem 2.13. Note that $F(G) = F^*(G) = Z_{\infty}(G)$.

Assume that $\Phi(G) \neq E$. Let $H/\Phi(G) = F^*(G/\Phi(G))$. Show that $H/\Phi(G) = F^*(G)/\Phi(G)$. It is clear that $F^*(G)/\Phi(G) \subseteq H/\Phi(G)$. Suppose that $H/\Phi(G)/F^*(G)/\Phi(G) \simeq H/F^*(G) \neq 1$. Note that $H/\Phi(G)$ and $F^*(G)/\Phi(G)$ are quasinilpotent. It follows that $H/F^*(G)$ is quasinilpotent. Now $H/Z_{\infty}(G)/Z_{\infty}(H)/Z_{\infty}(G) \simeq H/Z_{\infty}(H)$ is quasinilpotent. By theorem theorem 2.19 H is the normal quasinilpotent subgroup of G. Hence $H \subseteq F^*(G)$. We have the contradiction with $H/F^*(G) \neq E$. Thus $F^*(G/\Phi(G)) = F^*(G)/\Phi(G)$.

Let $S/\Phi(G)$ be a Sylow subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$. There is a Sylow subgroup P of G such that $P\Phi(G)/\Phi(G) = S/\Phi(G)$. From $F^*(G/\Phi(G)) = F^*(G)/\Phi(G)$ it follows that $S/\Phi(G)$ is the $F^*(G/\Phi(G))$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup of $G/\Phi(G)$. By minimality of G we have that $G/\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent. Hence G is nilpotent by theorem 2.6, a contradiction.

Suppose now that $\Phi(G) = 1$. By theorem 2.12 we have $Z_{\infty}(G) = Z(G)$. Therefore $F^*(G) = Z(G)$. Now we have $G = C_G(F^*(G)) \subseteq F^*(G)$. Thus G is nilpotent. This is the final contradiction. Theorem B is proved.

Proof of Theorem C. Let $P \in Syl_p(G)$ and $x \in P$. Then the subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ is the $F^*(G)$ -conjugate-permutable subgroup. By lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 $\langle x \rangle \triangleleft \triangleleft \langle x \rangle F^*(G)$. Note that $\langle x \rangle \triangleleft \triangleleft P$. Since $\langle x \rangle \leq P \bigcap \langle x \rangle F^*(G)$, by theorem 1.1.7 ([6] p.3) $\langle x \rangle$ is the subnormal subgroup in the product $P(\langle x \rangle F^*(G))$. Since P is generated by its cyclic subnormal in $PF^*(G)$ subgroups, by theorem ([12] p. 70) we have that $P \triangleleft \triangleleft PF^*(G)$. Then $P \triangleleft PF^*(G)$ by lemma 3.3. Thus $F^*(G) \subseteq N_G(P)$. Now theorem C immediately follows from theorem B.

Proof of Theorem D. Assume the result is not true and G is a minimal counterexample to theorem D. Then G = AB is the non-nilpotent dinilpotent group where subgroups A and B are F(G)-conjugate-permutable. By well-known theorem of Wielandt-Kegel group G is solvable.

Assume that $\Phi(G) \neq E$. Since $F(G/\Phi(G)) = F(G)/\Phi(G)$, we have that $A\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ and $B\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$ are the $F(G/\Phi(G))$ -conjugate-permutable nilpotent subgroups of $G/\Phi(G)$. Now $G/\Phi(G) = A\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)B\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$. By minimality of G we have that $G/\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent. Hence G is nilpotent by theorem 2.6, a contradiction.

Assume that $\Phi(G) = 1$. Let R = AF(G). Then $A \triangleleft R$ by lemma 3.2. Since A and F(G) are nilpotent, we have that R is nilpotent. By analogy T = BF(G) is nilpotent. Since G is not nilpotent, there is an abnormal maximal subgroup M of G such that $F(G) \nsubseteq M$ by Corollary A.2.

Note that $G/M_G = RM_G/M_GTM_G/M_G$. By lemma 4 of [17] without loss of generality we can assume that AM_G/M_G is p-group, and BM_G/M_G is p'-group. Now $RM_G/M_G \cap TM_G/M_G = M_G/M_G$. It means $RM_G \cap TM_G = M_G$. Now it follows that $F(G) \subseteq R \cap T \subseteq M_G$. But then $F(G) \subseteq G \cap M_G$, where M runs over all maximal subgroups M such that $MF(G) \neq G$. By the theorem of [20] we have $F(G) \subseteq \Phi(G) = 1$. This is the final contradiction. Theorem D is proved.

Proof of Theorem E. Since G' is nilpotent, G is soluble. Since F(G) is nilpotent, K = AF(G) is supersoluble by lemma 2.17. By analogy R = BF(G) is supersoluble. Now K/F(G)R/F(G) = G/F(G) is abelian, since G/G' is abelian and G' is nilpotent. Therefore K/F(G) and R/F(G) are normal in G/F(G). Now K and K are normal in K. Now our theorem follows from Baer's theorem [18].

Proof of Theorem F. Since G is metanilpotent, G is soluble. Since F(G) is nilpotent, K = AF(G) is supersoluble by lemma 2.17. By analogy R = BF(G) is supersoluble. Now K/F(G)R/F(G) = G/F(G) is nilpotent. By 3) theorem 2.2 K/F(G) and R/F(G) are subnormal subgroups of G/F(G). Therefore K and R are subnormal subgroups of G. By theorem 2.20 G is supersoluble.

Proof of Theorem G. Since F(G) is nilpotent, K = AF(G) is supersoluble by lemma 2.17. By analogy R = BF(G) is supersoluble. Since $A \leq K$ and $B \leq R$, we see that $A' \leq K'$ and $B' \leq R'$. By G' = A'B' = R'K' is the product of nilpotent subgroups R' and K' because the commutant of a supersoluble group is nilpotent. Note that $K' <_{F(G)-C-P} K$ and $R' <_{F(G)-C-P} R$. Since $G' \triangleleft G$, $F(G') \leq F(G)$. So G' is the product of two nilpotent F(G')-conjugate-permutable subgroups. From theorem D it follows that G' is nilpotent. This and theorem E immediately combine to yield.

References

- [1] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite soluble groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [2] O. Ore, Contributions in the theory of groups of finite order, J. Duke Math. 5 (1939) 431 460.

- [3] O. U. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalmalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math.Z, 78 (1962) 205 221.
- [4] A.N. Skiba, Finite groups with given systems of generalized permutable subgroups, Proceedings of F. Skoryna Gomel State University, № 3 (36) (2006) 12 31.(In Russian)
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches, J.C. Beidleman, H. Heineken and Pedraza-Aguilera, A survey on pairwise mutually permutable products of finite groups, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 4 (2009) 1 9.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches, M. Mohamed Asaad, R. Ramon Esteban-Romero, Products of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2010.
- [7] T. Foguel, Conjugate-Permutable Subgroups, J. Algebra, 191 (1997) 235 239.
- [8] T. Foguel, Groups with all cyclic subgroups conjugate-permutable, J. of Group Theory, $\mathbb{N}1$ (2) (1999) 47 52.
- [9] Xu Mingyao, Zhang Qinhai, On conjugate-permutable subgroups of a finite groups, Algebra Colloquium, №4 (12) (2005) 669 − 676.
- [10] Li Shirong, Meng Zhoungchuan, Groups with conjugate-permutable conditions, Mathematical Proceeding of the Royal Irish Academy, №2 (107) (2007) 115 121.
- [11] Shen Zhencai, Liu Wei, Kong Xianghong, Finite groups with self-conjugate-permutable subgroups, Communications in Algebra, №5 (38) (2010) 1715 − 1724.
- [12] L.A. Shemetkov, Formations of finite groups, Nauka, Moscow 1978. (In Russian)
- [13] P. Shmid, Uber die Automorphismengruppen endlicher Gruppen, Arch. Math. \mathbb{N} 3 (23) (1972), 236 242 .
- [14] H. Bender, On groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, Math. Z. 117 (1970), 164-176.
- [15] R.L. Griss, P. Schmid, The Frattini module, Arch. Math. 30 (1978), 256 266.
- [16] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn Finite groups III, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1982.
- [17] A.F. Vasil'ev, New properties of finite dinilpotent groups, Vesti NAN Belarusi. Ser.fizmate. Navuka, 2 (2004) 39 43. (In Russian)
- [18] R. Baer, Classes of finite groups and their properties, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957) 115 187.
- [19] R. Baer, Group elements of prime power index, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1953) 20 47.
- [20] V.S. Monakhov, Intersection of abnormal maximal subgroups of finite groups, Proceedings of F. Skoryna Gomel State University №6 (27) (2004) 81. (In Russian)
- [21] M. Weinstein and others, Between nilpotent and soluble, Polygonal Publishing House, Passaic, 1980.