

A new property of local formations of finite groups

D.V.KOROTKEVICH

Dedicated to Professor Wolfgang Gaschütz on the occasion of his 80th birthday

In this paper we introduce a new definition of a \mathfrak{F} -normal subgroup and prove that every local formation \mathfrak{F} consists precisely of finite groups in which each Sylow subgroup is either a normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup or else a \mathfrak{F} -normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup.

All groups considered are finite, and all group classes are non-empty. Definitions of a formation and a local formation are due to W.Gaschütz [1]. Let $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ be a local formation where $f : \{\text{primes}\} \rightarrow \{\text{formations}, \emptyset\}$. By the definition, \mathfrak{F} consists exactly of groups G having a f -central chief series $G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots \supset G_t = 1$, $t \geq 0$, in which $G/C_G(G_{i-1}/G_i) \in \mathfrak{F}(p)$ for every prime divisor p of $|G_{i-1} : G_i|$. In particular, if $f(p) = (1)$ for every prime p , then \mathfrak{F} coincides with the class \mathfrak{N} of nilpotent groups.

We use standard notations [2, 3]. Recall that a chief factor H/K of G is called f -central in G if $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(p)$ for every prime divisor p of $|H/K|$. A normal subgroup N of G is called f -hypercentral if all its G -chief factors are f -central in G . Following [2] we denote by $Z^f(G)$ the product of all f -hypercentral subgroup of G . $P^G = \langle P^x : x \in G \rangle$, P_G is the product of all normal subgroup of G contained in P .

Lemma 1. *If K is a normal subgroup of G then $Z^f(G) \cap K$ coincides with the product of all f -hypercentral subgroups of G contained in K .*

Proof follows from the Jordan-Hölder theorem and the fact that the product of two f -hypercentral subgroups is f -hypercentral as well.

Definition. Let A be a subgroup of G . We denote by $Z^f(A, G)$ the largest normal subgroup of G such that $A_G \subseteq Z^f(A, G) \subseteq A^G$ and all G -chief factors between A_G and $Z^f(A, G)$ are f -central in G . Set $N_G^f(A) = Z^f(A, G)N_G(A)$. We call A a f -normal subgroup of G if $N_G(A) \neq G$ but $N_G^f(A) = G$. If $\mathfrak{F} = LF(F)$ and $f = F$ is the largest integrated function then we say \mathfrak{F} -normal instead f -normal and write $Z^{\mathfrak{F}}(A, G)$ and $N^{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$.

We note that $Z^f(A, G)/A_G$ is f -hypercentral in G . Moreover, by lemma 1, $Z^f(A, G)/A_G$ coincides with $Z^f(G/A_G) \cap A^G/A_G$.

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2. *Let P be a Sylow subgroup of G , and N a normal subgroup of G . Then $N_G(PN) = N_G(P)N$.*

Proof. Evidently, $N_G(PN) \supseteq N_G(P)N$. Let $x \in N_G(PN)$. Then $PN = P^xN$ and $P^x = P^y$ for some $y \in PN$. Therefore $xy^{-1} \in N_G(P)$ and we have $x \in N_G(P)y \subseteq N_G(P)N$.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G . Then the following statements hold:*

- 1) if $N \subseteq A$ then $N_{G/N}^f(A/N) = N_G^f(A/N)$;
- 2) if A is f -normal in G then AN is either normal or f -normal in G ;
- 3) if A is f -normal in G , then AN/N is either normal or f -normal in G/N .

Proof. Since $N \subseteq A_G$, the statement 1) follows directly from the definition of $N_G^f(A)$. Prove 2). Let A be f -normal in G , i.e. $BN_G(A) = G$ where $B = Z^f(A, G)$, $N_G(A) \neq G$. Suppose

that AN is not normal in G . Let H/K be a G -chief factor such that $B \supseteq H \supset K \supseteq A_G$. By the condition, H/K is f -central. Consider

$$HN/KN \simeq H/H \cap KN = H/K(H \cap N).$$

Clearly, this factor is either identity or G -isomorphic to H/K and f -central. Therefore we have that $BN/A_G N$ is f -hypercentral in $G/A_G N$. Since $A_G N \subseteq (AN)_G$ and $BN \subseteq A_G N = (AN)^G$, then BN is contained in $Z^f(AN, G)$. Now from $BN_G(A) = G$ and $N_G(A) \subseteq N_G(AN)$ it follows that $Z^f(AN, G)N_G(AN) = G$, i.e. AN is f -normal in G .

Statement 3) follows from 1) and 2). Lemma is proved.

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{F} = LF(f)$ be a local formation. A group G belongs to \mathfrak{F} if and only if every Sylow subgroup of G is either a normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G or a f -normal \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .

Proof. If $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ then $Z^f(G) = G$ and it is clear that every Sylow subgroup of G is either normal or f -normal in G and belongs to \mathfrak{F} .

Conversely, suppose that G is a group such that every Sylow subgroup of G belongs to \mathfrak{F} and is either normal or f -normal in G . Evidently, if G is nilpotent then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Suppose that G is non-nilpotent. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . By lemma 3, G/N has the same property, i.e. every Sylow subgroup of G/N belongs to \mathfrak{F} and is either normal or f -normal. By induction, $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$, and we have that $N = G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Let P be an arbitrary non-normal Sylow subgroup of G . If N is not contained in P , then $P_G = 1$ and therefore $Z^f(P, G) = Z^f(G) \cap P^G$. Since P is f -normal, $Z^f(P, G)N_G(P) = G$. From this it follows that $Z^f(P, G) \neq 1$, i.e. G has a f -central minimal normal subgroup. Since N is the unique minimal normal subgroup it follows that N is f -central. From this and $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ we have $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Theorem is proved.

Резюме. Рассматриваются только конечные группы. Вводится новое определение \mathfrak{F} -нормальной подгруппы и доказывается, что если \mathfrak{F} — локальная формация, то она состоит в точности из всех групп, в которых каждая силовская подгруппа является либо нормальной, либо \mathfrak{F} -нормальной \mathfrak{F} -подгруппой.

References

- [1] W.Gaschütz, *Zur Theorie der endlichen auflösbaren Gruppen*, Math. Z., 80 (1963), 300–305.
- [2] L.A.Shemetkov, *Formations of finite groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (Russian).
- [3] K.Doerk. T.Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups*, Berlin–New York, Walter de Gruyter, 1992.