

## Minimal formations of universal algebras

A.D.HODALEVICH

Dedicated to Professor Wolfgang Gaschütz on the occasion of his 80th birthday

The definition of the formation of algebraic systems was first introduced by L.A.Shemetkov in [1]. L.A.Shemetkov and A.N.Skiba posed a question if any finite formation of algebraic systems possesses minimal subformations (problem 5.15 in [3]). We consider that problem for formations of universal algebras belonging to a Mal'cev variety. A variety is called a Mal'cev variety if it consists of algebras in which all congruences are permutable.

All necessary definitions and notations may be found in [3]. Further we consider universal algebras from some fixed Mal'cev variety. Symbol  $O_A$  means the least element of the lattice of congruences on  $A$ .

**Definition.** Let  $d_i$  be congruences on an algebra  $A$  such that  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n = \beta$  and  $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1} \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_n) \cap \alpha_i = O_A$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we say that  $\beta$  is the direct product of congruences  $\alpha_i$  and write  $\beta = \alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_n$ .

Remind that a non-one-element algebra  $A$  is called simple, if it has no congruences, different from trivial ones.

**Lemma.** Let an algebra  $A$  be the direct product of simple algebras  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ ,  $\alpha_i$  be the kernel, corresponding to the projection  $A$  on  $A_i$ ,  $\beta_i = \alpha_1 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_{i-1} \cap \alpha_{i+1} \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n$ . Then:

- 1)  $\alpha_i = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{i-1} \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_n$  is the maximal congruence on  $A$ ;
- 2)  $\beta_i$  is the minimal congruence on  $A$  and  $A^2 = \beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_n$ ;
- 3) for non-trivial congruence  $\pi$  on  $A$  the following decomposition holds:

$$A^2 = \pi \times \gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_t$$

where  $\gamma_i \in \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A/\alpha_i \simeq A_i$  is a simple algebra,  $\alpha_i$  is a maximal congruence on  $A$ . It is obvious that there is a permutation  $j$  of numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  such that equality (1) holds:  $\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{j1} \beta_{j2} \dots \beta_{jn-1}$ . That is why it is enough to point out that  $\alpha_n = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-1} &= (\alpha_2 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) \beta_2 \dots \beta_{n-1} = \\ &= (\alpha_2 \cap \alpha_3 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) (\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) \beta_3 \dots \beta_{n-1} = \\ &= (\alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) \cap \alpha_3 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) \beta_3 \dots \beta_{n-1} = \\ &(\alpha_3 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n) \beta_3 \dots \beta_{n-1} = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} (\alpha_1 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_{n-2} \cap \alpha_n) \cap \alpha_n = \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

2) The factor  $A^2/\alpha_i = \beta_i \alpha_i / \alpha_i$  is perspective to the factor  $\beta_i / \beta_i \cap \alpha_i = \beta_i$ . Hence  $\beta_i$  is the minimal congruence on  $A$ . Since

$$\beta_1 \dots \beta_{i-1} \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_n \cap \beta_i = \alpha_i \cap \beta_i = O_A,$$

so we have

$$A^2 = \beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_n.$$

3)  $\alpha_1 \cap \dots \cap \alpha_n = O_A$ . Consequently there is at least one maximal congruence  $\alpha_i$  such that  $\pi \not\subseteq \alpha_i = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{i-1} \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_n$ . Let us mark  $\gamma_j = \beta_{ij}$  where  $i_1, \dots, i_t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\pi \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_t = A^2$  and  $\pi \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{i-1} \gamma_{i+1} \dots \gamma_t \cap \gamma_i = O_A$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Now we show that  $\pi \cap \gamma_1 \cap \dots \cap \gamma_t = O_A$ . It is obvious that  $\pi \cap \gamma_1 = O_A$ , and let us suppose that it was proved that  $\pi \cap \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{t-1} = O_A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \cap \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_t &\subseteq \pi \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{t-1} \cap \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_t = \\ &= (\pi \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{t-1} \cap \gamma_t) \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{t-1} = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\pi \cap \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_t = O_A$  and  $A^2 = \pi \times \gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_t$ . Lemma is proved.

Remind that form  $A$  means the formation generated by an algebra  $A$ . As it is shown in work [1], form  $A = HR_0(A)$ . Here  $H\mathfrak{X}$  denotes the class of all homomorphic images of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -systems and  $R_0\mathfrak{X}$  denotes the class of all isomorphic copies of finite subdirect products of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -systems.

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a simple algebra, containing one-element subalgebras. Then form  $A$  has no proper non-one-element subformations.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-one-element formation and  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \text{form } A$ . Then for any algebra  $B \in \mathfrak{F}$  we have  $B \simeq H_\alpha$ , where  $H$  is the subdirect product of isomorphic copies of the algebra  $A$  and  $\alpha$  is a congruence on  $A$ . According to Lemma 3.16 [1]

$$H = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n,$$

where  $A_i \simeq A$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . From the lemma it follows that

$$H^2 = \beta_{j_1} \times \dots \times \beta_{j_n} = \alpha \times \beta_{j_1} \times \dots \times \beta_{j_n}.$$

Denote  $\beta_{j_n} = \beta$ ,  $\beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_{n-1}} = \gamma$ ,  $\alpha \beta_{j_1} \dots \beta_{j_{n-1}} = \tau$ . Then  $H^2 = \gamma \times \beta = \tau \times \beta$  and  $H/\tau \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $E$  be a one-element subalgebra of the algebra  $H$ . Then  $K = \beta E$  is the subalgebra of the algebra  $H$ , coinciding with some equivalence class of  $\beta$  on the algebra  $H$ . Since  $\gamma\beta = \tau\beta = H^2$ , we have  $\gamma K = \tau K = H$ . And since  $\gamma \cap \beta = \tau \cap \beta = O_A$ , so we get  $H/\gamma = \gamma K/\gamma \simeq K \simeq A$  and  $H/\tau = \tau K/\tau \simeq K \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Consequently,  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \text{form } A$ . The theorem is proved.

The formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called minimal if from  $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  it always follows that  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{E}$  is the one-element formation of algebras.

**Corollary.** Any formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  of the universal algebras possessing the condition of maximality for congruences and containing one-element subalgebras has the minimal subformations.

**Резюме.** Рассматриваются универсальные алгебры из фиксированного мальцевского многообразия. Доказано, что формация, порожденная простой алгеброй, имеющей одноэлементные подалгебры, не имеет собственных неоднородных подформаций.

## References

- [1] L.A.Shemetkov, *On the product of formations of algebraic systems*, Algebra and Logic 23:6 (1984), 721-729.
- [2] A.I.Mal'cev, *Algebraic systems*, Moscow, Nauka, 1970 (Russian).

- [3] L.A.Shemetkov, A.N.Skiba, *Formations of Algebraic Systems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989 (Russian).

Received March 21, 1999

Mathematics Department  
Francisk Scorina Gomel State University  
246019 Gomel, Belarus

РЕПОЗИТОРИЙ ГГУ ИМЕНИ Ф. СКОРИНЫ