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#### Abstract

The aim of this note is to give the boundedness conditions for Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces $H^{1}$ with the norm defined) via $(1, q)$ atoms over homogeneous spaces of Lie groups with doubling property and to apply results we obtain to generalized Delsarte operators and to Hausdorff operators over multidimensional spheres.
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## 1 Introduction

One-dimensional Hausdorff operators were introduced by Hardy [1, Section 11.18] as a transformations of functions of a continuous variable analogous to the regular Hausdorff transformations for sequences and series. Although occasionally one-dimensional Hausdorff operators appeared before 2000 (see [2] and (3]), the modern development of this theory begins with the work of Liflyand and Móricz [4] where Hausdorff operators on one-dimensional Hardy space were considered. The multidimensional case was studied in [5]. For more details of the development of the theory of Hausdorff operators up to 2014 see [6], and [7].

Hausdorff operators on the Hardy space $H^{1}$ over homogeneous spaces of locally compact groups were first introduced by the author in [8] for the case of doubling measures, and in [9] for the case of locally doubling measures. The case of locally compact groups was considered earlier in [10]. The aim of this note is to improve and generalize results from [8] to the case of Hardy spaces $H^{1}(G / K)$ with the norm defined via $(1, q)$ atoms when $G$ is a Lie group and to apply results we obtain to generalized Delsarte operators and to Hausdorff operators over multidimensional spheres.

## 2 The main result

Let $G$ be a locally compact metrizable group with left invariant metric $\rho$ and left Haar measure $\nu$. We assume that the following doubling condition in a sense of 11 holds:
there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\nu(B(x, 2 r)) \leq C \nu(B(x, r))
$$

for each $x \in G$ and $r>0$; here $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball of radius $r$ around $x$.
The doubling constant is the smallest constant $C \geq 1$ for which the last inequality is valid. We denote this constant by $C_{\nu}$. Then for each $x \in G, k \geq 1$ and $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(B(x, k r)) \leq C_{\nu} k^{d} \nu(B(x, r)) \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\log _{2} C_{\nu}$ (see, e.g., [12, p. 76]). The number $d$ takes the role of a "dimension" for a doubling metric measure space $G$.

Homogeneous group in a sense of Folland and Stein [13] (i.e., a connected simply connected Lie group $G$ whose Lie algebra is equipped with dilations) enjoys the doubling condition and $C_{\nu}=2^{Q}$, where $Q$ stands for the homogeneous dimension of $G$ [14, Lemma 3.2.12]. A complete Riemannian manifold, with Ricci curvature nonnegative outside a compact subset of the manifold, satisfies the doubling condition, as demonstrated in [15, Lemma 1.3]. Compact Lie groups endowed with Riemann metric and Haar measure satisfy the doubling condition, too [11, p. 588, Example (7)]. For complete noncompact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, the doubling property for the volume measure follows from the volume comparison inequality of Bishop and Gromov [16, Theorem 10.6.6].

We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ the space of all topological automorphisms of $G$ endowed with its natural topology $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ [17, Ch. X, $\S 3$, n 5$], \mathcal{L}(Y)$ denotes the space of linear bounded operators on a normed space $Y$.

Let $K$ be a compact subgroup of $G$ with normalized Haar measure $\beta$. Consider the quotient space $G / K$ of left cosets $\dot{x}:=x K=\pi_{K}(x)(x \in G)$ where $\pi_{K}: G \rightarrow G / K$ stands for a natural projection. We shall assume that the measure $\nu$ is normalized in such a way that (generalized) Weil's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} g(x) d x=\int_{G / K}\left(\int_{K} g(x k) d k\right) d \lambda(\dot{x}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $g \in L^{1}(G)$, where $\lambda$ denotes some left- $G$-invariant measure on $G / K$ (see [18, Chapter VII, §2, No. 5, Theorem 2 ] and especially remark c) after this theorem or [19, Proposition 10.4.12]). Here $G$-left invariance of
$\lambda$ means that $\lambda(x E)=\lambda(E)$ for every Borel subset $E$ of $G / K$ and for every $x \in G$. This measure is unique up to constant multiplier.

Henceforth we write $d x$ instead of $d \nu(x)$ and $d k$ instead of $d \beta(k)$. We shall write also $d \dot{x}$ instead of $d \lambda(\dot{x})$.

The function $g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called right-K-invariant if $g(x k)=g(x)$ for all $x \in G, k \in K$. For such a function we put $\dot{g}(\dot{x}):=g(x)$. This definition is correct and for $g \in L^{1}(G)$ formula (1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} g(x) d x=\int_{G / K} \dot{g}(\dot{x}) d \dot{x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that $\int_{K} d k=1$ ).
The map $g \mapsto \dot{g}$ is a bijection between the set of all right- $K$-invariant functions on $G$ (all right- $K$-invariant functions from $L^{1}(G)$ ) and the set of all functions on $G / K$ (respectively functions from $L^{1}(G / K, \lambda)$ ).

Let an automorphism $A \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ maps $K$ onto itself. Since

$$
A(\dot{x}):=A(x K)=\{A(x) A(k): k \in K\} \leqslant A(x) K=\pi_{K}(A(x))
$$

we get a homeomorphism $\dot{A}: G / K \rightarrow G / K, \dot{A}(\dot{x}):=\pi_{K}(A(x))$. Then for every right- $K$-invariant function $g$ on $G$ we have $\dot{g}(\dot{A}(\dot{x}))=g(A(x))$.

We put

$$
\left.\operatorname{Aut}_{K}(G):=\{\hat{A}: A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(G), A(K)=K\right\}
$$

A $\nu$-measurable function $a$ on $G$ is called an $(1, q)$-atom $(q \in(1, \infty])$ if
(i) the support of $a$ is contained in a ball $B(x, r)$;
(ii) $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r))}$ if $q=\infty$, and
$\|a\|_{q} \leq \nu(B(x, r))^{\frac{1}{q}-1}$ if $\left.q \in(1, \infty)\right)^{1}$;
(iii) $\int_{G} a(x) d \nu(x)=0$.

In case $\nu(G)<\infty$ we shall assume $\nu(G)=1$; in this case the constant function having value 1 is also considered to be an atom.

Hereafter by atom we mean an $(1, q)$-atom on $G$.
Definition 1. [8, [9]. We define the Hardy space $\left.H^{1}(G / K)=H^{1, q}(G / K)\right)^{2}$ as a space of such functions $f$ on $G / K$ that admit an atomic decomposition of the form

$$
f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \dot{a}_{j}
$$

[^0]where $a_{j}$ are right- $K$-invariant $(1, q)$-atoms on $G$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|<\infty$. In this case,
$$
\|f\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)}:=\inf \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|,
$$
and infimum is taken over all decompositions above of $f$.
In other words, $f=\dot{g}$ where $g=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}, a_{j}$ are right- $K$-invariant $(1, q)$-atoms on $G$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|<\infty$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)}=\|g\|_{H^{1, q}(G)}$.

Remark 1. Real Hardy spaces over compact connected (not necessary quasi-metric) Abelian groups were defined in [20].

Proposition 1. [9]. Let $G \neq K$. Then the space $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ is nontrivial and Banach.

Definition 2. [8]. Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space, $(\dot{A}(\mu))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{K}(G)$ a family of homeomorphisms of $G / K$, and $\Phi$ a measurable function on $(\Omega, \mu)$. For a Borel measurable function $f$ on $G / K$ we define a Hausdorff operator on $G / K$ as follows

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f\right)(\dot{x}):=\int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f(\dot{\mathcal{A}}(u)(\dot{x})) d \mu(u) .
$$

For the proof of our main result the next two lemmas are crucial.
Lemma 1. 10]. Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be $\sigma$-compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure $\mu,(X, m)$ be a measure space and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be some Banach space of m-measurable functions on $X$. Assume that the convergence of a sequence strongly in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ yields the convergence of some subsequence to the same function for $m$-dlmost all $x \in X$. Let $F(u, x)$ be a function such that $F(u, \cdot) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ for $\mu$-almost all $u \in \Omega$ and the map $u \mapsto F(u, \cdot): \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is Bochner integrable with respect to $\mu$. Then for m-almost all $x \in X$

$$
\left.(B) \int_{\Omega} F(u, \cdot) d \mu(u)\right)(x)=\int_{\Omega} F(u, x) d \mu(u) .
$$

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a (finite dimensional real or complex) connected Lie group with left invariant Riemann metric $\rho$. Then every automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $\left\|(d \varphi)_{e}\right\|$.

Proof. Let $T_{a}(G)$ denotes the tangent space for $G$ at the point $a \in G$. Let $L_{a}: x \mapsto a x$ be the left translation in $G$. Then the tangent map $l_{a}:=\left(d L_{a}\right)_{e}:$ $T_{e}(G) \rightarrow T_{a}(G)$ is a bijection. We fix the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $T_{e}(G)$ and introduce the norm in $T_{a}(G)$ by the rule $\left\|X_{a}\right\|:=\left\|X_{e}\right\|$ if $X_{a}=l_{a}\left(X_{e}\right)$, $X_{e} \in T_{e}(G), a \in G$.

As is well known, for every $p, q \in G$

$$
\rho(p, q)=\inf _{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t
$$

where infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves $\alpha$ from $[0,1]$ to $G$ with $\alpha(0)=p, \alpha(1)=q\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right.$ stands, as usual, for the tangent vector to $\alpha$ at the point $\alpha(t))$. Since $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, the formula $\beta=\varphi \circ \alpha$ gives the general form of all piecewise smooth curves in $G$ with $\beta(0)=\varphi(p)$ and $\beta(1)=\varphi(q)$. Thus, by the chain rule

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(\varphi(p), \varphi(q))=\inf _{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|(\varphi \circ \alpha)^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \\
=\inf _{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|(d \varphi)_{\alpha(t)} \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t \leq \inf _{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|(d \varphi)_{\alpha(t)}\right\|\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t .
\end{gathered}
$$



It is known (see, e.g., [21]) that for every left invariant vector field $X$ on $G$ (this means that $X_{a}=l_{a}\left(X_{e}\right)$ for all $a \in G$ ) the vector field $(d \varphi)(X)^{3}$ is left invariant, too. In other wards, $(d \varphi)_{a}\left(X_{a}\right)=l_{a}(d \varphi)_{e}\left(X_{e}\right)$, i.e., $(d \varphi)_{a}=$ $l_{a}\left((d \varphi)_{e}\right) l_{a}^{-1}$ and therefore $\left\|(d \varphi)_{a}\right\|=\left\|(d \varphi)_{e}\right\|$ for all $a \in G$. The result follows.

Now we are in a position to prove the next
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a (finite dimensional real or complex) connected Lie group with left invariant Riemann metric $\rho$ and left Haar measure $\nu$ such that the space $(G, \rho, \nu)$ is doubling. Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be $\sigma$-compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure $\mu$, and let $q \in(1, \infty]$. If

$$
\|\Phi\|_{A, q}:=\int_{\Omega}|\Phi(\hat{u})|(\bmod A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d} d \mu(u)<\infty
$$

where $k(u):=\left\|\left(d\left(A(u)^{-1}\right)\right)_{e}\right\|$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}$ is bounded on the space $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ and

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1, q}(G / K)\right)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\|\Phi\|_{A, q} .
$$

Proof. If we set $X=G / K$ and $m=\lambda$ the pair $(X, m)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 with $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ in place of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Indeed, let $f_{n}=$ $\dot{g}_{n} \in H^{1, q}(G / K), f=\dot{g} \in H^{1, q}(G / K)$, and $\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{L^{1}(G / K)}=\int_{G / K}\left|\pi_{K}\left(g_{n}-g\right)\right| d \lambda \\
=\int_{G}\left|g_{n}(x)-g(x)\right| d x \leq\left\|g_{n}-g\right\|_{H^{1, q}(G)}=\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

(by Hölder inequality $\|a\|_{1} \leq 1$ for each atom $a$ ), there is a subsequence of $f_{n}$ that converges to $f \lambda$-a.e.

[^1]Then Definition 2 and Lemma 1 imply for $f \in H^{1, q}(G / K)$ that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f=\int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f \circ \dot{A}(u) d \mu(u),
$$

the Bochner integral (recall that $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ is a subspace of $L^{1}(G / K, \lambda)$ [11, p. 592], and thus we identify functions that equal $\lambda$-a.e.).

Therefore (below $f=\dot{g}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f\right\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)} \leq \int_{\Omega}|\Phi(u)|\|f \circ \dot{A}(u)\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)} d \mu(u) \\
=\int_{\Omega}|\Phi(u)|\|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1, q}(G)} d \mu(u)
\end{gathered}
$$

If $g=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \circ A(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} a_{j} \circ A(u) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
b_{j, u}:=C_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(\frac{1}{q}-1\right) s} a_{j} \circ A(u)\right.
$$

is an atom, too. Indeed, Lemma 2 implies that

$$
A(u)^{-1}(B(x, r)) \subseteq B\left(x^{\prime}, k(u) r\right)
$$

where $x^{\prime}=A(u)^{-1}(x)$. If $a_{j}$ is supported in $B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ then $b_{j, u}$ is supported in $B\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, k(u) r_{j}\right)$. So the condition (i) holds for $b_{j, u}$.

Next, by the property (D) we have

$$
\nu\left(B\left(x_{j}, k(u) r_{j}\right)\right) \leq C_{\nu} k(u)^{d} \nu\left(B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)\right)
$$

This estimate yields in view of (ii) and the left invariance of $\rho$ and $\nu$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|a_{j} \circ A(u)\right\|_{q}=\left(\int_{G}\left|a_{j} \circ A(u)\right| d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}=\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{q}\right. \\
\leq\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\nu\left(B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \leq\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\nu\left(B\left(x_{j}, k(u) r_{j}\right)\right)}{C_{\nu} k(u)^{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\right.\right. \\
=\left(C_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(\frac{1}{q}-1\right) d}\right)^{-1}\left(\nu\left(B\left(x_{j}^{\prime}, k(u) r_{j}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, condition (ii) holds for $b_{j, u}$, too. Finally, the validity of (iii) follows from [18, VII.1.4, formula (31)].

Since formula (3) can be rewritten in the form

$$
g \circ A(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{j} C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d}\right) b_{j, u},\right.
$$

we have

$$
\|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1, q}(G)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|\right.
$$

It follows that (recall that $f=\dot{g}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|g \circ A(u)\|_{H^{1, q}(G)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d}\|g\|_{H^{1, q}(G)}\right. \\
=C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\bmod (A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d}\|f\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1, q}(G / K)\right)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\Omega}|\Phi(u)|(\bmod A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(u)^{d\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d \mu(u)
$$

and the proof is complete.
Setting in Theorem $1 \Omega=\mathbb{Z}$, with counting measure $\mu$ we have the next result for discrete Hausdorff operators.

Corollary 1. Let $(G, \rho, \nu)$ and $K$ be as in the Theorem $1,(\dot{A}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \subset$ Aut $_{K}(G)$, and $q \in(1, \infty]$. If $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$
\|\Phi\| A, q:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\Phi(n)|(\bmod A(n))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(n)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d}<\infty
$$

then the discrete Hausdorff operator

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}} f(\dot{x}):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi(n) f(\dot{A}(n)(\dot{x}))
$$

is bounded on the space $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ and

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \dot{A}}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1, q}(G / K)\right)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\|\Phi\|_{A, q} .
$$

As a special case of Theorem 1 for $K=\{e\}(e$ denotes the unit of $G)$ one has the

Corollary 2. Let Let $(G, \rho, \nu)$ and $(\Omega, \mu)$ be as in the Theorem 1, $(A(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, and $q \in(1, \infty]$. If $\|\Phi\|_{A, q}<\infty$ then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is bounded on $H^{1, q}(G)$ and

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1, q}(G)\right)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\|\Phi\|_{A, q} .
$$

Remark 2. The condition $\|\Phi\|_{A, q}<\infty$ is not necessary for boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ in Hardy space as the following simple example shows4. Consider the Hausdorff operator

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{1} f\right)(x):=\int_{\Omega} f\left(u_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} x_{n}\right) d u
$$

in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Here $G=\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: u_{j} \neq 0\right.$ for $\left.j=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \mu$ and $\nu$ are Lebesgue measures on $\Omega$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ respectively, $K=\{0\}, A(u)(x)=A_{u} x$, where $A_{u}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}\left(x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.$ a column vector, $\left.u \in \Omega\right), \Phi=1, d=n$. The necessary moment condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(u) d u \neq 0$ for functions from $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ yields that $\mathcal{H}_{1} f=0$ for all $f \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. On the other hand, here $\bmod A(u)=$ $\left|\operatorname{det} A_{u}\right|=\left|u_{1} \ldots u_{n}\right|$ [23, Subsection VL.1.10, Corollary 1], $\left(d A(u)^{-1}\right)_{0} X=$ $A_{u}^{-1} X\left(X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), k(u)=\left\|A_{u}^{-1}\right\|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq n^{1 / 2}\left|u_{1} \ldots u_{n}\right|^{-1 / n}$. Then

$$
\|\Phi\|_{A, q}=\int_{\Omega}(\bmod A(u))^{-\frac{1}{q}} k(\bar{u})^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d} d u \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d u}{\left|u_{1} \ldots u_{n}\right|}=\infty .
$$

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 Generalized shift operator of Delsarte

Let $G$ be as above and $\mathfrak{A}$ a compact subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ with normalized Haar measure m. Recall that the generalized shift operator of Delsarte [24], [25, Ch. I, §2] (also the terms "generalized translation operator of Delsarte", or "generalized displacement operator of Delsarte" are used) is defined to be

$$
T^{x} f(h)=\int_{\mathfrak{A}} f(h a(x)) d m(a) \quad(x, h \in G) .
$$

Since the group $G$ acts on $G / K$, one can define a generalization of this operator to $G / K$ as follows. Let $\Omega:=\{u \in \mathfrak{A}: u(K)=K\}$. Then $\Omega$ is a

[^2]compact subgroup of $\mathfrak{A}$. We denote by $\mu$ the normalized Haar measure of $\Omega$ and put for a Borel measurable function $f$ on $G / K$
$$
T^{\dot{x}} f(h):=\int_{\Omega} f(h \dot{u}(\dot{x})) d \mu(u) \quad(\dot{x} \in G / K, h \in G) .
$$

Let $h$ be fixed and $L^{h} f(\dot{x}):=T^{\dot{x}} f(h)$. Then $L^{h}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \tau_{h}$, where

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1} f(\dot{x}):=\int_{\Omega} f(\dot{u}(\dot{x})) d \mu(u)
$$

is a Hausdorff operator on $G / K$ with $\Phi(u)=1$ and $A(u)=u$, and $\tau_{h} f(\dot{x}):=$ $f(h \dot{x})$. Note that mod is a continuous homomorphism from Aut $(G)$ to the multiplicative group $(0, \infty)$. Since $\Omega$ is a compact group, it follows that $\bmod (\Omega)=\{1\}$. Assume that the doubling conditions for the Lie group $G$ holds and $\Omega$ is quasi-metric. Then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ is bounded on $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ by Theorem 1 and

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right\| \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\Omega} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) s} d \mu(u)
$$

where $k(u)=\left\|\left(d\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{e}\right\|$. Since $\tau_{h}$ is an isometry of $H^{1, q}(G / K)$, we conclude that the operator $L^{h}$ is bounded on $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ and

$$
\left\|L^{h}\right\| \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\Omega} k(u)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) d} d \mu(u) .
$$

### 3.2 Hausdorff operators on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Consider the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (the case $n=3$ was considered in [9]).
The compact group $G=S O(n)$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ transitively by restriction of the natural action of $G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is known that the isotropy subgroup $K$ of the point $e_{n}:=(0, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ consists of all elements in $S O(n)$ of the form

$$
\widetilde{a}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \mathbf{0}^{\top} \\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, a \in S O(n-1)$. Hence we identify $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with the homogeneous space $S O(n) / K$. Let $s \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. If a matrix $x(s) \in S O(n-1)$ satisfies $s=x(s) e_{n}^{\top}$ we can identify the point $s$ with the coset $\dot{x}(s):=x(s) K$.

Consider the set of automorphisms of $G=S O(n)$ of the form

$$
A(u)(x)=\widetilde{u}^{-1} x \widetilde{u}, \quad u \in O(n-1)
$$

Since every mapping $x \mapsto u^{-1} x u$ with $u \in O(n-1)$ maps $S O(n-1)$ onto itself (being a connected component of unit in $O(n-1)$ the group $S O(n-1)$ is a normal subgroup of $O(n-1)$ ), we have in our case that all automorphisms $A(u)$ where $u \in O(n-1)$ map $K$ onto $K$. Then by definition the coset

$$
\dot{A}(u)(\dot{x}(s))=\pi_{K}\left(\widetilde{u}^{-1} x(s) \widetilde{u}\right)
$$

can be identified with the point

$$
\widetilde{u}^{-1} x(s) \widetilde{u} e_{n}^{\top}=\widetilde{u}^{-1} x(s) e_{n}^{\top}=\widetilde{u}^{-1} s=\left(u^{-1} s^{\prime}, s_{n}\right)
$$

$\left(s^{\prime}:=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right)\right)$ of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
Thus, Definition 2 takes the form (we put $x=x(s)$ in this definition and identify the coset $\dot{x}(s)$ with a column vector $\left.s \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu} f\right)(s)=\int_{O(n-1)} \Phi(u) f\left(u^{-1} s^{\prime}, s_{n}\right) d \mu(u) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ stands for a (regular Borel) measure on $Q(n-1)$ and $f$ is a Borel measurable function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Note that the point $\left(u^{-1} s^{\prime}, s_{n}\right)$ runs over the cross-section of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by the hyperplane $\left\{x=s_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (which contains $s$ ) orthogonal to the last coordinate axis when $u$ runs over $O(n-1)$. So (4) looks as a "horizontal slice transform" on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and the function $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu} f$ depends on $s_{n} \in[-1,1]$ only.

To apply Theorem 1 first we shaw that $k(u)=1$ for $u \in O(n-1)$. Indeed, $k(u)=\left\|d\left(A\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{1_{n}}\right\|$ (here $1_{n}$ stands for the unit $n \times n$ matrix). It is easy to verify that for every $X \in \mathfrak{s o}(n)$, the Lie algebra of $S O(n)$

$$
d\left(A\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{1_{n}} X=\widetilde{u} X \widetilde{u}^{-1}
$$

On the other hand, $\widetilde{u} \in O(n)$ for $u \in O(n-1)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|d\left(A\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{1_{n}}\right\|=\max _{\|X\|=1,\|Y\|=1}\left|\left\langle d\left(A\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{1_{n}} X, d\left(A\left(u^{-1}\right)\right)_{1_{n}} Y\right\rangle\right|= \\
\max _{\|X\|=1,\|Y\|=1}\left|\left\langle\widetilde{u} X \widetilde{u}^{-1}, \widetilde{u} Y \widetilde{u}^{-1}\right\rangle\right|=\max _{\|X\|=1,\|Y\|=1}|\langle X, Y\rangle|=1
\end{gathered}
$$

(here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stands for the Euclidean inner product). Since $S O(n)$ is compact, it is doubling [11]. Next, since $S O(n)$ is unimodular, we get that $\bmod A=1$ for all $A \in \operatorname{Aut}(S O(n))$. So if $\Phi \in L^{1}(O(n-1), \mu)$ [8, Theorem 1] yields that the operator (4) is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\right.} \leq\|\Phi\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}$. Moreover, Theorem 1 yields that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \mu}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(H^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\right)} \leq C_{\nu}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\|\Phi\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}
$$

where $C_{\nu}$ is the doubling constant for $S O(n)$.
In closing let us consider the following special case. Let $\Phi=1$ and $m$ be a Haar measure of the (compact) group $O(n-1)$. Then for every $f \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ the function

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{1, m} f\right)(s)=\int_{O(n-1)} f\left(u^{-1} s^{\prime}, s_{n}\right) d m(u)
$$

belongs to $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. On the other hand, this function depends on $s_{n}$ only. Indeed, $\left(s^{\prime}, s_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ if and only if $s^{\prime}$ belongs to the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{r}^{n-2}$ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of radius $r=\sqrt{1-s_{n}^{2}}$. Fix $s_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{r}^{n-2}$. Since $S O(n-1)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{S}_{r}^{n-2}$, for every $s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}_{r}^{n-2}$ there is such $v \in S O(n-1)$ that $v s_{0}^{\prime}=s^{\prime}$. Taking into account that $O(n-1)$ is unimodular, we get

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{1, m} f\right)(s)=\int_{O(n-1)} f\left(u v s_{0}^{\prime}, s_{n}\right) d m(u)=\int_{O(n-1)} f\left(u s_{\theta}^{\prime}, s_{n}\right) d m(u)
$$

which completes the proof.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As usual, $\|\cdot\|_{q}$ denotes the $L^{q}$ norm.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is known that $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ does not depend on $q \in(1, \infty]$ [11, Theorem A, p. 592]. We write $H^{1, q}(G / K)$ instead of $H^{1}(G / K)$ in order to stress the fact that we use the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1, q}(G / K)}$ described below.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ In [21] the map $d \varphi$ is denoted by $L(\varphi)$

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The sufficient boundedness conditions from [5] and [22] are also not met in this example.

