See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309350908

# The Markov-Stieltjes transform on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces

Research in Integral Transforms and Special Functions · November 2016 DOI: 10.1080/10652469.2016.1247074

citations 2		READS 69
2 authors, including:		
	Adolf Mirotin Francisk Skorina Gomel State University 142 PUBLICATIONS 361 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:		



Векторные поля на группах View project

Hausdorff operators View project





**Integral Transforms and Special Functions** 

ISSN: 1065-2469 (Print) 1476-8291 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gitr20

# The Markov–Stieltjes transform on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces

A. R. Mirotin & I. S. Kovalyova

To cite this article: A. R. Mirotin & I. S. Kovalyova (2016): The Markov-Stieltjes transform on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, DOI: 10.1080/10652469.2016.1247074

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2016.1247074



Published online: 21 Oct 2016.



Submit your article to this journal 🗹



View related articles



View Crossmark data 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=gitr20



# The Markov–Stieltjes transform on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces

A. R. Mirotin and I. S. Kovalyova

Department of Mathematics, F. Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel, Belarus

#### ABSTRACT

We prove that the Markov–Stieltjes transform is a bounded non compact Hankel operator on Hardy space  $H^p$  with Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard Schauder basis of  $H^p$  and a bounded noncompact operator on Lebesgue space  $L^p[0, 1]$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and obtain estimates for its norm in this spaces. It is shown that the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $L^2(0, 1)$  is unitary equivalent to the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $H^2$ . Inverse formulas and operational properties for this transform are obtained.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 7 October 2016 Accepted 7 October 2016

#### **KEYWORDS**

Special transforms (Legendre; Hilbert; etc.); Markov–Stieltjes function; inverse formula; Hilbert matrix; Hankel operator

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION 44A15; 47B35; 42B20

# 1. Introduction

Much work has been done during last years on the theory of integral transforms of functions of one real variable and in particular on convolution and inversion theorems for such transforms and their applications to integral equations (see, e.g. [1-7] and the bibliography cited therein). This paper is devoted to the Markov-Stieltjes transform S of functions on (0, 1). The last transform was introduced in [8, Chapter 6] as a special case of the Stieltjes transform of measures on general semigroups. The terminology goes back to approximation theory, see, e.g. [9, p. 14, 10, 11]. We give inverse formulas for this transform and formulate its operational properties. The main goal of this paper is to study the Markov-Stieltjes transform as an operator on Hardy spaces  $H^p$  for  $p \in (1, \infty]$  and Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . We prove that S is a bounded non-compact Hankel operator on Hardy space  $H^p$  with Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard Schauder basis of  $H^p$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , a bounded non compact operator from  $H^{\infty}$  to BMOA, and a bounded operator in  $\ell_A^p$  and give estimates for the norm of *S* in this cases. We show also that *S* is a bounded non compact operator on Lebesgue space  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and obtain estimates for its norm in this spaces, too. It is shown also that the Markov-Stieltjes transform on  $L^2(0,1)$  is unitary equivalent to the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $H^2$ . As a corollary the norm and the spectrum of *S* as an operator on  $L^2(0, 1)$  are obtained.

**Definition 1.1** (8, Chapter 6, 7): The Markov–Stieltjes transform of a function  $f \in L^1(0, 1)$  is defined by the formula

$$Sf(z) := \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{1 - tz} dt$$
 (1.1)

(we write also  $S_{t\to z}{f(t)}$  instead of Sf(z)). Obviously, for  $z \notin [1, \infty)$  this Lebesgue integral exists and represents an analytic function  $f^*$  in the domain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ . For  $z \in [1, \infty)$  the integral in (1.1) is understood as a Cauchy principle value integral, i.e.

$$Sf(z) := V.P. \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{1 - tz} \, \mathrm{d}t := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \int_{\{t \in (0,1): |t - 1/z| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{f(t)}{1 - tz} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.2}$$

The limit in the right-hand side of (1.2) exists for almost all  $z \in [1, \infty)$ . In fact,  $Sf(z) = (\pi/z)(Hf_1)(1/z)$ , where  $Hf_1$  stands for the Hilbert transform of the function  $f_1(x) := f(x)$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $f_1(x) := 0$  otherwise. So, the application of Loomis theorem (see, e.g. [12, p. 239]) proves the assertion.

The following example shows that in general  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$  is the domain of holomorphy of *Sf*.

**Example 1.2:** Putting  $t = x^2/(1 + x^2)$  it is easy to verify that  $S_{t\to z}\{(t(1 - t))^{-1/2}\}$  equals to  $\pi(1 - z)^{-1/2}$  for  $z \notin [1, \infty)$  and equals to zero otherwise.

As was mentioned above the study of Markov–Stieltjes transform as a function is important in approximation theory ([9, p. 226, 11]).

This transform is useful in solving some singular integral equations, too. In [7] for  $f \in L^p(0, 1), g \in L^q(0, 1)$   $(1 < p, q < \infty, 1/p + 1/q < 1)$  the following binary operation was considered (this operation was introduced for the first time in [1, p. 220, formula (24.38)])

$$f \circledast g(t) = tf(t) \int_0^1 \frac{f(u)}{t-u} \,\mathrm{d}u + tg(t) \int_0^1 \frac{g(u)}{t-u} \,\mathrm{d}u,$$

where the integrals are understood as their Cauchy principal values, and using methods developed by Srivastava and Vu Kim Tuan [2] a convolution theorem for the Markov-Stieltjes transform in the form

$$S(f \circledast g) = (Sf) \cdot (Sg)$$

was proved. Arguing as in [2] it was also shown in [7] that the equation

$$x(t) + \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{x(u)}{t - u} \, \mathrm{d}u = g(t) \quad (\lambda \neq 0)$$

where *g* is prescribed and *x* is an unknown function to be determined has (for appropriate *g*) the unique solution

$$x(u) = \cos(\alpha \pi) S_{s \to u}^{-1} \left\{ (1-s)^{\alpha} S_{t \to s} \left\{ \frac{g(t)t^{\alpha}}{(1-t)^{\alpha}} \right\} \right\},$$

 $\alpha$  being a (unique) root of the equation  $\tan(\alpha \pi) = \lambda \pi$ ,  $0 < \text{Re}\alpha < 1$  (for inversion formulas for the Markov–Stieltjes transform see the following section).

# 2. Inversion formulas

A complex inversion formula for the Markov-Stieltjes transform looks as follows.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $f \in L^1(0, 1)$ , 0 < t < 1, and  $f(t \pm 0)$  exist. If  $f^* = Sf$ , then

$$\frac{f(t+0) + f(t-0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\eta \to 0+} \left( \frac{1}{t-i\eta} f^*\left(\frac{1}{t-i\eta}\right) - \frac{1}{t+i\eta} f^*\left(\frac{1}{t+i\eta}\right) \right).$$

**Proof:** It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\frac{1}{t-\mathrm{i}\eta}f^*\left(\frac{1}{t-\mathrm{i}\eta}\right)-\frac{1}{t+\mathrm{i}\eta}f^*\left(\frac{1}{t+\mathrm{i}\eta}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1\frac{\eta}{(t-s)^2+\eta^2}f(s)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Application of Widder [13, p. 338, Lemma 7.2] completes the proof.

Now we shall formulate also a real inversion formula for the Markov-Stieltjes transform.

**Theorem 2.2 (**[7]): Let  $f \in L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $1 . The Markov–Stieltjes transform <math>f^*(x) = Sf(x)$  exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} V.P. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f^*(x)}{1 - tx} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Proof:** It follows, e.g. from the above-mentioned equality  $Sf(z) = (\pi/z)(Hf_1)(1/z)$  and the inversion formula for the Hilbert transform (see [7] for details).

# 3. Operational properties of the Markov-Stieltjes transforms

The following properties hold for the Markov-Stieltjes transform (cf., e.g. [3, p. 394]).

If  $f^* = Sf$ , then

(1)

$$S_{t\to z}{f(1-t)} = \frac{1}{1-z}f^*\left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right);$$

(2)

$$S_{t \to z} \{ f(at) \} = \frac{1}{a} f^* \left( \frac{z}{a} \right) \quad (a > 1);$$

(3)

$$S_{t\to z}\{tf(t)\} = \frac{1}{z} \left( f^*(z) - \int_0^1 f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) \quad (f \in L^1(0, 1)).$$

In particular,  $S_{t\to z} \{ tf(t) \} = (1/z) f^*(z)$  if  $\int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0$ ; (4)

$$S_{t \to z} \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{t+a} \right\} = \frac{z}{1+az} f^*(z) - \frac{1}{1+az} \int_0^1 \frac{f(t)}{t+a} \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \left( \frac{f(t)}{t+a} \in L^1(0,1) \right).$$

In particular,  $S_{t\to z}{f(t)/(t+a)} = (z/(1+az))f^*(z)$  if  $\int_0^1 (f(t)/(t+a)) dt = 0$ ;

(5)

$$S_{t \to z} \left\{ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f(t) \right\} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} f^*(z) + \frac{f(1)}{1-z} - f(0) \quad \text{if } f \in C^1[0,1], \ z \notin [1,\infty).$$

In particular,  $S_{t \to z} \{ (d/dt) f(t) \} = -(d/dz) f^*(z)$  if in addition f(0) = f(1) = 0; (6)

$$S_{t\to z}\left\{\int_0^t f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t\right\} = -\int_0^z f^*(z) \, \mathrm{d}z - \left(\int_0^1 f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t\right) \log(1-z) + \int_0^1 (1-t)f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

In particular,  $S_{t\to z}{\int_0^t f(t) dt} = -\int_0^z f^*(z) dz$  if  $\int_0^1 f(t) dt = \int_0^1 tf(t) dt = 0$ . We omit simple proofs of this properties.

# 4. Markov-Stieltjes transform as an operator on Hardy spaces

In this section we identify the Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$  ( $\mathbb{D}$  stands for the open unit disk and  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle ; see, e.g. [14]) and frequently use the notation  $H^p$  for this space, we denote also by  $\chi_n(z) := z^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) the standard (Schauder) basis of  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Definition 4.1:** Following Böttcher and Silbermann [15, p. 52] for  $b \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$  we define the Hankel operator H(b) on  $H^{p}(\mathbb{T})$  (1 by

$$H(b): H^p \to H^p: f \mapsto PM(b)(I-P)Jf,$$

where

$$P: \sum_{n=-N}^{N} f_n \chi_n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{N} f_n \chi_n,$$
  

$$M(b): L^p(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T}): f \mapsto bf,$$
  

$$J: f(t) \mapsto \frac{1}{t} f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n \chi_{-n-1}(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{T}),$$

where  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n \chi_n$ .

The function *b* is called the symbol of the Hankel operator H(b).

The next theorem describes the properties of *S* as an operator on  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  (this means that *S* is defined by the formula (1.1), where  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D}), z \in \mathbb{D}$ ).

#### Theorem 4.2:

(1) The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is a bounded non-compact Hankel operator on  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  (1 ) and has Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard basis. Moreover, the following estimates hold:

$$\pi \leq \|S\|_{H^p \to H^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{\max\{p,q\}}}.$$
(4.1)

In particular, if p = 2 then the norm and the essential norm of S equal to  $\pi$ , and the spectrum and the essential spectrum of S equal to  $[0, \pi]$ .

(2) The Markov-Stieltjes transform S is a bounded non compact Hankel operator from H<sup>∞</sup>(T) to BMOA(T), and

$$\|S\|_{H^{\infty}\to \text{BMOA}} \leq \pi \, \|P\|_{L^{\infty}\to \text{BMOA}}.$$

**Proof:** (1) First note, that for  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$  the Fejer–Riesz inequality (see, e.g. [14, Theorem 3.13]) implies that

$$\|f\|(0,1)\|_{L^p(0,1)} \le \pi^{1/p} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$
(4.2)

It follows that the restriction f|(0, 1) belongs to  $L^p(0, 1)$  and therefore the Lebesgue integral in (1) exists for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Next, since for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ 

$$(S\chi_n)(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^n}{1 - tz} \, \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{m=0}^\infty z^m \int_0^1 t^{n+m} \, \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\chi_m(z)}{n + m + 1},\tag{4.3}$$

operator *S* is Hankel and has Hilbert matrix  $\Gamma = (1/n + m + 1)_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$  with respect to the standard basis  $(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  of  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ . Indeed, by formula (4.3) and Parceval's formula,

$$\left\| S\chi_n - \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{\chi_m}{n+m+1} \right\|_{H^2}^2 = \left\| \sum_{m=M+1}^\infty \frac{\chi_m}{n+m+1} \right\|_{H^2}^2$$
$$= \sum_{m=M+1}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+m+1)^2} \to 0 \quad (M \to \infty).$$

This implies that  $S\chi_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \chi_m/(n+m+1)$  in the sense of  $H^2$  and therefore  $\langle S\chi_j, \chi_k \rangle = 1/(j+k+1)$  for all  $j, k \ge 0$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in  $H^2$ .

Now we use the following remark to the Nehari theorem. If the *n*th Fourier coefficient of a function  $a \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$  equals to  $a_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the operator A in  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$  satisfies  $\langle A\chi_j, \chi_k \rangle = a_{j+k+1}$  for all  $j, k \ge 0$  then A is bounded on  $H^p(\mathbb{T})$  if (and only if)  $a \in BMO$ , the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation on  $\mathbb{T}$  [15, p. 55]. But

$$-\log(1-z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \chi_n(z),$$

and the function  $a(z) := -\log(1 - z)$  belongs to BMO (*a* is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and its imaginary part belongs to  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ , thus, *a* has the form  $f + \tilde{g}$ , where  $f, g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\tilde{g}$  is the harmonic conjugate of *g*). It follows that  $a \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ , since  $BMO \subset L^p(\mathbb{T})$ . Now by the previous remark to the Nehari theorem, *S* is bounded on  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ .

Moreover, if *b* is the symbol of *S* the Nehari theorem [15, Theorem 2.11] implies

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^{\infty}}(b,\overline{H^{\infty}}) \leq \|S\|_{H^{p} \to H^{p}} \leq c_{p}\operatorname{dist}_{L^{\infty}}(b,\overline{H^{\infty}}),$$

where

$$\operatorname{dist}_{L^{\infty}}(b, \overline{H^{\infty}}) = \inf\{\|b - f\|_{L^{\infty}} : f \in \overline{H^{\infty}}\}, \quad c_p = \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{\max\{p,q\}}}$$

(see, e.g. [15, p. 32]). But the symbol of the Hankel operator *S* on  $H^p$  does not depend of *p* (see the proof of the Nehari theorem in [15]). So for p = 2, we have

$$||S||_{H^2 \to H^2} = \operatorname{dist}_{L^{\infty}}(b, \overline{H^{\infty}}).$$

On the other hand, it is known that the norm and the essential norm of the Hankel operator on the space  $H^2(\mathbb{T})$  with Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard basis equal to  $\pi$  (and therefore dist<sub>L</sub> $_{\infty}(b, \overline{H^{\infty}}) = \pi$ ; see, e.g. [16, p. 36]), and its spectrum and essential spectrum equal to  $[0, \pi]$  (see, e.g. [16, p. 575, Theorem 1.7]).

To prove (1) it remains to show that *S* is non compact on  $H^p$   $(1 . For this we recall that the symbol of the Hankel operator on the space <math>H^2(\mathbb{T})$  with Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard basis is  $b(e^{it}) = ie^{-it}(\pi - t)$ ,  $0 \le t < 2\pi$  (see, e.g. [16, p. 6]). According to the Hartman theorem [15, p. 80] if the operator S = H(b) is compact then  $b \in C(\mathbb{T}) + \overline{H^{\infty}}$ . But this inclusion contradicts the Lindelöf theorem on one-sided limits of  $H^{\infty}$ -functions (see, e.g. [17, Corollary 5.3.5]). This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

(2) As it was shown above Sf = PM(b)(I - P)Jf for  $f \in H^p$ . Since  $J : H^{\infty} \to (H^2)^{\perp}$ , it follows that Sf = PM(b)Jf for  $f \in H^{\infty}$ . Moreover,

$$J: H^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}, \quad \|J\|_{H^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}} = 1,$$

and

$$M(b): L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}, \quad \|M(b)\|_{L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}} = \|b\|_{L^{\infty}} = \pi.$$

It is also known (see, e.g. [18, Theorem 8.3.10]) that *P* is a bounded operator from  $L^{\infty}$  to BMOA. This implies that *S* is a bounded operator from  $H^{\infty}$  to BMOA and

$$\|S\|_{H^{\infty} \to \text{BMOA}} \le \|P\|_{L^{\infty} \to \text{BMOA}} \|M(b)\|_{L^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}} \|J\|_{H^{\infty} \to L^{\infty}} = \pi \|P\|_{L^{\infty} \to \text{BMOA}}$$

Finally, since  $b \notin C(\mathbb{T}) + \overline{H^{\infty}}$ , the operator  $S : H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \to BMOA(\mathbb{T})$  is non compact by the main result of the paper [19].

Results like the previous theorem **may** have applications to approximation theory. Let  $\mathbf{r}_n$  be the set of rational functions of order at most *n* whose poles lies outside of  $\mathbb{D}$ , *X* a Banach space of functions defined on some set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  and  $XR_n(f) = \inf_{r \in \mathbf{r}_n} ||f - r||_X$  the degree of approximation of a function *f* from *X* by rationals from  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . By the triangle inequality,

$$XR_n(f) - XR_n(g)| \le ||f - g||_X \quad (f, g \in X).$$
(4.4)

**Corollary 4.3:** The map  $f \mapsto H^p R_n(Sf)$  is continuous on  $H^p$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, the map  $g \mapsto H^p R_n g$  is continuous on  $H^p$  by the inequality (4.4).

The following corollary is a generalization (for a Hausdorff moment problem) of a result due to Zhu [20, p. 372, Proposition 9].

**Corollary 4.4:** Let  $1 . For <math>f \in H^p$  we let Tf be the sequence  $(c_n)$  defined by

$$c_n = \int_0^1 f(t)t^n \,\mathrm{d}t, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then T is a bounded linear operator from  $H^p$  to  $\ell^q$  (1/p + 1/q = 1) and  $||T||_{H^p \to \ell^q} \le \pi/\sin(\pi/q)$ .

Proof: Since

$$Sf(z) = \int_0^1 f(t) \sum_{n=0}^\infty (tz)^n dt = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n,$$

Theorem 6.1 from [14] and Theorem 4.2 imply that

$$\|Tf\|_{\ell^q} \leq \|Sf\|_{H^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{q}} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

For the following corollary recalls that the Bergman space  $L_a^2$  consists of such functions  $f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m z^m$  that are holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and

$$\|f\|_{L^2_a} := \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f_m|^2}{m+1}\right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

the sequence  $\xi_n := \sqrt{n+1}\chi_n$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $L^2_a$  (see, e.g. [18]).

**Corollary 4.5:** The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is an unbounded densely defined operator on the Bergman space  $L_a^2$ .

*Proof:* Indeed, by the formula (4.3)

$$S\xi_n(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{m+n+1} z^m.$$

So, the matrix  $(a_{jk})$  of *S* with respect to the basis  $(\xi_j)$  is

$$a_{jk} = \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{\sqrt{j+1}(k+j+1)}.$$

Since  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{jk}|^2 = \infty$ , the operator *S* is unbounded. It remains to note that  $H^2$  is dense in  $L^2_a$ .

# 5. The Markov–Stieltjes transform as an operator on Lebesgue spaces

In the following theorem *S* denotes the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $L^p(0, 1)$  (1 . In other words,*S* $is defined by the formula (1.1), where <math>f \in L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $z \in (0, 1)$ .

## Theorem 5.1:

(1) The Markov–Stieltjes transform is a bounded non compact operator on  $L^p(0,1)$  (1 . Moreover, the following estimates hold:

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}} \le \|S\|_{L^p \to L^p} \le \pi \cot\frac{\pi}{2\max\{p,q\}}$$

(2) The Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $L^2(0,1)$  is unitarily equivalent to the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . In particular, the norm and the essential norm of S equal to  $\pi$ , and the spectrum and the essential spectrum of S equal to  $[0,\pi]$ .

**Proof:** We begin with the case  $1 . As was mentioned in Section 1, <math>Sf(z) = y\pi Hf_1(y)$  where z = 1/y (y > 0), H stands for the Hilbert transform of functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and the function  $f_1(t) := f(t)$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$  and f(t) := 0 for  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0, 1)$  belongs to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Now the M. Riesz inequality for the Hilbert transform implies for 1 that

$$\begin{split} \|Sf\|_{L^{p}(0,1)} &= \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left|\frac{\pi}{z}Hf_{1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right|^{p} dz\right)^{1/p} = \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2}}|y\pi Hf_{1}(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \pi \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2-p}}|Hf_{1}(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{1/p} \leq \pi \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} |Hf_{1}(y)|^{p} dy\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \pi \|Hf_{1}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \pi A_{p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(0,1)}. \end{split}$$

Since (see, e.g. [12])

$$A_p = \begin{cases} \tan \frac{\pi}{2p}, & 1 2, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\|S\|_{L^p\to L^p} \le \pi \cot \frac{\pi}{2\max\{p,q\}}.$$

In the case p > 2 using standard duality arguments, this inequality, and the Hölder inequality, we get (below for  $f, g \in L^p(0, 1)$ , we put  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_0^1 f\bar{g} dt$ ,  $A_q := A_p$ , 1/p + 1/q = 1)

$$\begin{split} \|Sf\|_{L^{p}} &= \sup\{\langle Sf,g\rangle : g \in L^{q}, \ \|g\|_{L^{q}} \leq 1\} = \sup\{\langle S\bar{g},f\rangle : g \in L^{q}, \ \|g\|_{L^{q}} \leq 1\}\\ &\leq \sup\{\|S\bar{g}\|_{L^{q}}\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{p}} : g \in L^{q}, \ \|g\|_{L^{q}} \leq 1\}\\ &\leq \sup\{A_{q}\pi\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|f\|_{L^{p}} : g \in L^{q}, \ \|g\|_{L^{q}} \leq 1\} \leq A_{q}\pi\|f\|_{L^{p}}. \end{split}$$

This proves the right-hand side of the desired inequality.

To prove the left-hand side of this inequality, consider the function

$$f_{\gamma}(t) := \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\gamma}, \gamma \in \left(-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Then

$$\|f_{\gamma}\|_{L^{p}}^{p} = \int_{0}^{1} \left|\frac{t}{1-t}\right|^{p\gamma} dt = B(1+p\gamma, 1-p\gamma) = \frac{\pi p\gamma}{\sin \pi p\gamma}.$$

Using [21, Section 2.2.6, formula 5], we have

$$Sf_{\gamma}(t) = -\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma} \frac{1}{z} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\gamma}} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\|Sf_{\gamma}\|_{L^{p}}^{p} = \left|\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma}\right|^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{z^{p}} \left|1 - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\gamma}}\right|^{p} dz = \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1-x^{\gamma}}{1-x}\right)^{p} \frac{dx}{x^{p\gamma}}.$$

Fix  $0 < \gamma_0 < 1/p$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists such  $\delta > 0$  that  $(1 - x^{\gamma_0})^p (1 - x)^{-p} > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in (0, \delta)$ . Then for  $\gamma > \gamma_0$  and  $x \in (0, \delta)$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{1-x^{\gamma}}{1-x}\right)^p > \left(\frac{1-x^{\gamma_0}}{1-x}\right)^p > 1-\varepsilon$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\|Sf_{\gamma}\|_{L^{p}}}{\|f_{\gamma}\|_{L^{p}}}\right)^{p} &= \frac{\sin \pi p\gamma}{\pi p\gamma} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{1-x^{\gamma}}{1-x}\right)^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^{p\gamma}} \\ &\geq \frac{\sin \pi p\gamma}{\pi p\gamma} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{\delta} (1-\varepsilon) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^{p\gamma}} \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi p\gamma}{\pi p\gamma} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma}\right)^{p} \frac{\delta^{1-p\gamma}}{1-p\gamma} (1-\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 1/p} \frac{\sin \pi p \gamma}{\pi (1 - p \gamma)} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \gamma} \right)^p \frac{\delta^{1 - p \gamma}}{p \gamma} = \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}} \right)^p,$$

we get

$$\|S\|_{L^p\to L^p}\geq \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

To prove that *S* is non compact, assume the contrary. Then  $\lim_{mes(D)\to 0} ||P_DS||_{L^p\to L^p} = 0$ , where  $P_Df := \chi_D f$  ( $\chi_D$  denotes the characteristic function of the subset  $D \subset [0, 1]$ ) [22, Theorem 3.1].

On the other hand, let  $D_a := [a, 1]$  and  $x_a := (1/\text{mes}(D_a)^{1/p})\chi_{D_a}$ . Then  $\|x_a\|_{L^p}^p = 1$  and

$$Sx_a = \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}(D_a)^{1/p}} \int_{D_a} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{1 - tz} = \frac{1}{(1 - a)^{1/p}} \int_a^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{1 - tz}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{(1 - a)^{1/p}} \frac{1}{1 - az} \int_a^1 \mathrm{d}t = (1 - a)^{1 - 1/p} \frac{1}{1 - az}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{D_a}Sx_a\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_0^1 |\chi_{D_a}(z)|^p |Sx_a(z)|^p \, \mathrm{d}z \ge (1-a)^{p-1} \int_a^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1-az)^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{1}{a} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(1+a)^{p-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{a \to 1} \|P_{D_a}S\|_{L^p \to L^p}^p \ge \limsup_{a \to 1} \|P_{D_a}Sx_a\|_{L^p}^p \ge \frac{1}{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\right) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof on noncompactness of the operator S.

To show that the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $L^2(0, 1)$  is unitarily equivalent to the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , consider the restriction operator

$$j: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to L^2(0,1), \quad f \mapsto f|(0,1).$$

Let  $S_L$  denotes the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $L^2(0, 1)$ , and  $S_H$  the Markov–Stieltjes transform on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Note that  $jS_H = S_L j$ , i.e. j is an intertwining operator for  $S_L$  and  $S_H$ . The operator j is bounded (see the formula (4.2)) and injective and has a dense range. By the Putnam–Douglas theorem (see, e.g. [23, Theorem IX.6.10(c)]),  $S_L$  is unitarily equivalent to  $S_H$ . Application of Theorem 4.2 completes the proof.

**Corollary 5.2:** The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is a bounded operator from  $H^p$  to  $L^p(0, 1)$  and

$$||S||_{H^p \to L^p} \le \pi^{1+1/p} \cot \frac{\pi}{2 \max\{p,q\}}$$

**Proof:** By the formula (4.2), if  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$  then the restriction f|(0, 1) belongs to  $L^p(0, 1)$ and the norm of the restriction operator  $j_p: H^p \to L^p(0, 1): f \mapsto f|(0, 1)$  does not exceed  $\pi^{1/p}$ . Let  $S_H$  denotes the Markov–Stieltjes operator in  $H^p$ . Then  $S = j_p S_H : H^p \to L^p(0, 1)$ and

$$\|S\|_{H^p \to L^p} \le \|j_p\|_{H^p \to L^p} \|S_H\|_{H^p \to H^p} \le \pi^{1+1/p} \cot \frac{\pi}{2 \max\{p, q\}}$$

**Corollary 5.3:** The map  $f \mapsto L^p R_n(Sf)$  is continuous on  $L^p$ .

**Proof:** The proof is similar to the case of  $H^p$ .

**Remark 5.1:** The Markov–Stieltjes transform is an unbounded operator on  $L^1(0, 1)$  and  $L^{\infty}(0, 1)$ , but it is bounded as an operator from  $L^p(0, 1)$   $(1 to <math>L^1(0, 1)$  [8, p. 187]. It is also a continuous map from  $L^1(0, 1)$  to  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $0 because if <math>f, g \in L^p(0, 1)$  then

$$\begin{split} \|S(f-g)\|_{L^{p}(0,1)}^{p} &= \int_{0}^{1} \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(t) - g(t)}{1 - tz} \, \mathrm{d}t \right|^{p} \mathrm{d}z \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \frac{|f(t) - g(t)|}{1 - tz} \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{p} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \frac{|f(t) - g(t)|}{1 - z} \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{p} \mathrm{d}z = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{(1 - z)^{p}} \|f - g\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}^{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - p} \|f - g\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}^{p}. \end{split}$$

Recall that the Banach space  $\ell_A^p$  (1 consists of functions <math>f that are holomorphic on the unit disc,  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n (z \in \mathbb{D})$ , and such that  $||f||_{\ell_A^p}^p := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n|^p < \infty$ . Obviously, the space  $\ell_A^p$  can be identified with  $\ell^p$ . According to Böttcher and Silbermann [15, p. 53], a Hankel operator H(a) on  $\ell_A^p$  associated with a sequence  $a = (a_n)$  is defined by

$$H(a)f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j z^j \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where

$$b_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+j+1} f_k \quad \left( f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n \right).$$

In the following theorem, we consider *S* as an operator on  $\ell_A^p$  (this means that *S* is defined by the formula (1.1), where  $f \in \ell_A^p, z \in \mathbb{D}$ ).

**Theorem 5.4:** The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is a bounded Hankel operator on  $\ell_A^p$  (1 <  $p < \infty$ ) and has Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard basis. Moreover,

$$\|S\|_{\ell^p_A \to \ell^p_A} = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

**Proof:** First note, that the Markov–Stieltjes transform exists for  $f \in \ell_A^p$ , since  $f|(0,1) \in L^1(0,1)$ . In fact, if  $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n t^n$  then

$$\int_0^1 |f(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \le \int_0^1 \sum_{n=0}^\infty |f_n| t^n \, \mathrm{d}t = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{|f_n|}{n+1},$$

the series converges by the Hölder inequality.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we get that the Markov–Stieltjes transform *S* is a Hankel operator on  $\ell_A^p$  and has Hilbert matrix with respect to the standard basis  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, e_n(z) := z^n$  of  $\ell_A^p$ .

To compute the norm of *S*, note that the Hardy–Littlewood–Polya–Shur inequality [24, Theorem 318] applied to the function K(x, y) = 1/(x + y) implies, that

$$\|Sf\|_{\ell_{A}^{p}}^{p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{m}}{n+m+1}\right|^{p} \le k^{p} \|f\|_{\ell_{A}^{p}}^{p},$$

where the best possible constant *k* is [24, p. 229]

$$k = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^{1/p}(1+x)} = \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{p}}$$

(for the last equality see, e.g. [21, Section 2.2.4, formula 25]).

**Corollary 5.5:** Let  $1 . The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is a bounded operator from <math>\ell_A^p$  to  $H^q(1/p + 1/q = 1)$  and

$$\|S\|_{\ell^p_A \to H^q} \le \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}.$$

**Proof:** It follows from the above theorem, because by [14, Theorem 6.1, p. 94]  $\ell_A^p \subset H^q(\mathbb{D})$  and the norm of the natural embedding of  $\ell_A^p$  into  $H^q(\mathbb{D})$  does not exceed 1.

**Corollary 5.6:** The Markov–Stieltjes transform S is a bounded operator from  $\ell_A^p$  to  $L^q(0,1)$  and

$$\|S\|_{\ell^p_A \to L^q} \le \frac{\pi^{1+1/q}}{\sin\frac{\pi}{q}}.$$

*Proof:* The proof follows from formula (4.2) and Corollary 5.7.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank A.A. Pekarskii for the offprint of the paper [9] and for useful comments and discussions. They also thank the referees for suggestions which improved the presentation.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

# Funding

The first author was supported by the State Program of Scientific Research of Republic of Belarus under Grant 20160825.

# References

 Yakubovich NT, Hai SB. The double Mellin–Barnes type integrals and their applications to convolution theory. River Edge (NJ): World Scientific Publishing; 1992. (Series on Soviet and East European Mathematics; Vol. 6).

- [2] Srivastava HM, Tuan VK. A new convolution theorem for the Stieltjes transform andits application to a class of singular integral equations. Archiv der Math. 1995;64(2):144–149.
- [3] Debnath L, Bhatta D. Integral transforms and their applications. Bota Raton (FL): Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2007.
- [4] Yakubovich S, Martins M. On the iterated Stieltjes transform and its convolution with applications to singular integral equations. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2013;25(5):398–411.
- [5] Yakubovich S. New inversion, convolution and Titchmarsh's theorems for the half-Hilbert transform. Integral Transforms Spec Funct. 2014;25(12):955–968.
- [6] Yakubovich S. On the half-Hartley transform, its iteration and compositions with Fourier transforms. J Integral Equ Appl. 2014;26(4):581–608.
- [7] Kovalyova IS, Mirotin AR. Convolution theorem for Markov–Stieltjes transform. Probl Phys, Math Tekhniks. 2013;3(16):66–70 (in Russian).
- [8] Mirotin AR. Harmonic analysis on Abelian semigroups. Gomel: Gomel State University; 2008 (in Russian).
- [9] Andersson J-E. Rational approximation to function like  $x^{\alpha}$  in integral norms. Anal Math. 1988;14(1):11–25.
- [10] Andersson J-E. Best rational approximation to Markov functions. J Approx Theory. 1994;76:219–232.
- [11] Vyacheslavov NS, Mochalina EP. Rational approximations of functions of Markov–Stieltjes type in Hardy space  $H^p$ , 0 . Vestnik Mokovskogo Univ Ser 1 Mat Mek. 2008;63(4):125–134 (in Russian). English translation: Moscow University Mathematics. 2008. No. 4, Springer.
- [12] King FW. Hilbert transforms: in 2 Vol. Vol. 1. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press; 2009.
- [13] Widder DV. The Laplace transform. Princeton (NJ): Princeton Univ. Press; 1946.
- [14] Duren PL. Theory of H<sup>p</sup> spaces. New York: Academic Press; 1970.
- [15] Böttcher A, Silbermann B. Analysis of Toeplitz operators. Berlin: Springer; 1990.
- [16] Peller V. Hankel operators and their applications. Berlin: Springer; 2003.
- [17] Nikolski NK. Operators, functions and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 1. Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society; 2002. (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 92)
- [18] Zhu K. Operator theory in function spaces. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society; 2007.
- [19] Cima JA, Janson S, Yale K. Completly continuose Yankel operators on  $H^{\infty}$  and Bourgain algebras. Proc Amer Math Soc. 1989;105(1):121–125.
- [20] Li B, Wang S, Yan S, et al., editors. Functional analysis in China. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1996.
- [21] Prydnikov AP, Brychkov YuA, Marichev OI. Integrals and series : in 3 Vol. Vol. 1. Elementary functions. London: Taylor and Francis; 2002.
- [22] Krasnoselskii MA, Zabreiko PP, Pystylnik EI, Sobolevskii PE. Integral operators in spaces of summable functions. Leyden: Noordhoff International Publisher; 1976.
- [23] Conway JB. A course in functional analysis. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer; 1997.
- [24] Hardy GH, Littlwood JE, Polya G. Inequalities. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press; 1934.